



CITY OF LODI

COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

AGENDA TITLE: Request of Cal-Pac Roofing, Inc. for Uniform Building Code Interpretation by Board of Appeals

MEETING DATE: November 6, 1991

PREPARED BY: Community Development Director

RECOMMENDED ACTION: None required. Information only.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: At its meeting of October 16, 1991 the City Council was in receipt of a letter from Lindsay D. Smith, Operations Manager, Cal-Pac Roofing, Inc. appealing a current policy of the Building Division of the Community Development Department. Specifically, Mr. Smith was concerned about the following:

1. Refusal to allow reroofing over existing roofing materials with Decrabond Tile, as approved in the product's I.D.B.O. Report (No. 3409);
2. Refusal to allow installation of Decrabond Tile over spaced sheeting;
3. A requirement to install Type 30# felt under Decrabond Tilt.

As indicated by the attached Memorandum from the Chief Building Inspector, Cal-Pac Roofing, Inc. has never officially appealed to him on these matters. Mr. Smith has discussed these items with the staff, but has never put his request in writing so that the Chief Building Inspector could respond and thereby set up the appeal process, if necessary.

FUNDING: None required.

James B. Schroeder
 James B. Schroeder
 Community Development Director

JBS/cg

Attachment

APPROVED. _____

Thomas A. Peterson
THOMAS A. PETERSON



MEMORANDUM, City of Todi, Community Development Department

TO : James B. Schroeder
Community Development Director

FROM : Roger G. Houston
Chief Building Inspector

DATE : October 23, 1991

SUBJECT: Uniform Building Code Interpretation By Board Of Appeals

On September 19, 1991 the City Manager's Office received a letter from Cal-Pac Roofing, Inc. requesting certain interpretations of the Uniform Building Code be reviewed by the Board of Appeals. As you know, adopted Ordinance 1476A sets up the City Council as the Board of Appeals.

On October 16, 1991 the City Council referred this matter back to Staff for action.

I would recommend the following steps to resolve this matter:

1. Cal-Pac Roofing should appeal, in writing, to the City Building Official.
2. Cal-Pac Roofing should provide documentation to support their position.

Once these steps are followed, I will respond to their appeal on a point by point basis.

If this procedure does not resolve the matter, Cal-Pac Roofing can appeal to the City Council.

RGH/n1



CONTRACTORS LIC. NO. 519930
11350 Monier Park Place
Rancho Cordova, CA 95742
(916) 635-6300
(800) 422-1450

September 13, 1991

City Manager
City of Lodi
P.O. Box 320
Lodi, CA 95241

Dear Sir:

We wish to appeal a current policy of your Building Department.

Section 204 of the 1988 Uniform Building Code provides the form and authority for this appeal.

Our appeal concerns interpretations of Chapter 3214 (Appendix) of the above code, with specific reference to the following:

1. **Refusal** to allow reroofing over existing roofing materials with Decrabond Tile, as approved in the product's I.C.B.O. Report (No-3409)-
2. Refusal to allow installation of Decrabond Tile over spaced sheeting.
3. A requirement to install Type 301 felt under Decrabond Tile.

To offer us the opportunity to review the qualifications of your appointees to this Board of Appeals, please submit the names of those appointees and their background at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Lindsay D.
Operations Manager

2278 Pike Ct., Suite D
Concord, CA 94520
(415) 827-3693
(800) 365-7227

1160-A Industrial Ave.
Petaluma, CA 94952
(707) 765-9980
(800) 564-7564

1092 Florence Way
Campbell, CA 95008
(408) 378-0450
(800) 562-6222

1673 Donlon St. #205
Ventura, CA 93003
(805) 650-8682
(800) 882-6676

9272 Jeronimo #120
Irvine, CA 92718
(714) 583-0884
(800) 433-5644

7370 Opportunity Rd., Suite O
San Diego, CA 92111
(619) 268-1215
(800) 548-5404