



CITY OF LODI
COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

AGENDA TITLE: Public Hearing to Consider Resolution Adopting Final Engineer's Annual Levy Report for Lodi Consolidated Landscape Maintenance Assessment District No. 2003-1, Fiscal Year 2010/11, and Ordering the Levy and Collection of Assessments
MEETING DATE: July 21, 2010
PREPARED BY: Public Works Director

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Public hearing to consider resolution adopting the Final Engineer's Annual Levy Report for Lodi Consolidated Landscape Maintenance Assessment District No. 2003-1, Fiscal Year 2010/11, and ordering the levy and collection of assessments.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Over the past seven years, the City Council has formed a total of 16 zones of the Lodi Consolidated Landscape Maintenance Assessment District No. 2003-1 (District). There were no annexations in this fiscal year. The scope of maintenance activities funded by the District includes 1) landscape and irrigation, 2) masonry block walls, 3) street parkway trees, and 4) public park areas. The activities and levy amount vary by zone, as described in the attached report, City of Lodi Consolidated Landscape Maintenance Assessment District No. 2003-1, Annual Engineer's Report (Report) FY 2010/11. The report describes the general nature, location and extent of the improvements to be maintained and an estimate of the costs of the maintenance, operations, and servicing for the improvements. The report includes a diagram for the District showing the area and properties proposed to be assessed; an assessment of the estimated costs of the maintenance, operations and servicing for the improvements; and the net levy upon all assessable lots and/or parcels within the District. The total assessment for the District for FY 2010/11 is \$156,577.88. The assessments range from \$7.58 to \$504.85 per Dwelling Unit Equivalent (DUE). The assessments per DUE vary because the specific improvements maintained in each zone are different. The average assessment per DUE is \$172.52. Although each district varies in cost, the overall cost increased 6.4 percent from last year's overall cost.

Notice of this public hearing was posted in the Lodi News Sentinel. Individual notification to the property owners is not required and, therefore, not sent.

The action requested of the City Council is to approve the final report and order the levy and collection of the assessments.

FISCAL IMPACT: Funding for preparation of the report is included in the assessments.
Total District assessment: \$156,577.88
Assessment range per DUE: \$7.58 to \$504.85
Average assessment per DUE: \$172.52



FUNDING AVAILABLE: Not applicable.

[Signature]
F. Wally Sandelin
Public Works Director

Prepared by Chris Boyer, Junior Engineer
Attachment
cc: City Attorney
Deputy Public Works Director - Utilities


Interim Parks and Recreation Director
NBS

APPROVED: [Signature]
Konradt Bartlam, Interim City Manager



City of Lodi
Lodi Consolidated
Landscape Maintenance District No.
2003-1
Annual Report
Fiscal Year 2010/11

Submitted by



Main Office
32605 Temecula Parkway, Suite 100
Temecula, CA 92592
800.676.7516

Regional Office
870 Market Street, Suite 1223
San Francisco, CA 94102
800.434.8349

CITY OF LODI
221 W. Pine Street
Lodi, California 95240
Phone - (209) 333-6706
Fax - (209) 333-6710

CITY COUNCIL

Phil Katzakian, Mayor
Susan Hitchcock, Mayor Pro Tempore
Larry D. Hansen, Council Member
Bob Johnson, Council Member
JoAnne Mounce, Council Member

CITY STAFF

Blair King, City Manager
Jordan Ayers, Deputy City Manager
Randi Johl, City Clerk
D. Stephen Schwabauer, City Attorney
Wally Sandelin, Public Works Director
Sharon Welch, Senior Civil Engineer

NBS

Greg Davidson, Client Services Director
David Schroeder, Project Manager
Nick Dayhoff, Analyst

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. ENGINEER'S LETTER	1-1
2. OVERVIEW	2-1
2.1 District Formation and Annexation History	2-1
2.2 Effect of Proposition 218	2-2
3. PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS	3-1
4. METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT	4-1
5. ESTIMATE OF COSTS	5-1
5.1 Definitions.....	5-1
5.2 Zone-Specific Budgets and Reserve Information	5-2
6. ASSESSMENT DIAGRAMS	6-1
7. FISCAL YEAR 2010/11 ASSESSMENT ROLL	7-1

1. ENGINEER'S LETTER

WHEREAS, on June 2, 2010, the *City Council* ("Council") of the *City of Lodi* ("City"), pursuant to the *Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972* ("Act"), adopted a resolution initiating proceedings for the levy and collection of assessments for the *Lodi Consolidated Landscape Maintenance District No. 2003-1* ("District"), Fiscal Year 2010/11;

WHEREAS, said resolution ordered NBS Government Finance Group to prepare and file a report, in accordance with §22567 of the Act, concerning the assessment of the estimated costs of operating, maintaining and servicing the improvements within the District for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011.

NOW THEREFORE, the following assessments are made to finance the operation, maintenance, and servicing of the improvements within the District:

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Zone 1 - Total Assessment	\$18,571.04
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	74
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$250.96
Zone 2 - Total Assessment	\$30,558.08
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	133
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$229.76
Zone 3 - Total Assessment	\$7,907.64
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	39
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$202.76
Zone 4 - Total Assessment	\$7,203.24
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	34
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$211.86
Zone 5 - Total Assessment	\$45,737.30
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	223
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$205.10
Zone 6 - Total Assessment	\$30,545.60
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	80
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$381.82
Zone 7 - Total Assessment	\$682.30
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	5
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$136.46
Zone 8 - Total Assessment	\$8,610.50
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	17
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$506.50

(Continued on next page)

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Zone 9 - Total Assessment	\$1,389.96
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	11
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$126.36
Zone 10 - Total Assessment	\$784.00
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	7
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$112.00
Zone 11 - Total Assessment	\$1,347.08
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	7
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$192.44
Zone 12 - Total Assessment	\$896.00
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	8
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$112.00
Zone 13 - Total Assessment	\$704.72
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	93.104
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$7.57
Zone 14 - Total Assessment	\$970.02
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	17
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$57.06
Zone 15 - Total Assessment	\$585.34
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	36.268
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$16.14
Zone 16 - Total Assessment	\$110.40
Dwelling Unit Equivalents	8.370
Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent	\$13.19

I, the undersigned, respectfully submit this report and, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, the assessments and assessment diagrams herein have been computed and prepared in accordance with the order of the Council.

F. Wally Sandelin, P.E., Engineer of Work

Date

Seal

2. OVERVIEW

This report describes the District and details the assessments to be levied against the parcels therein for Fiscal Year 2010/11. Such assessments account for all estimated direct & incidental expenses, deficits/surpluses, revenues, and reserves associated with the operation, servicing and maintenance of the improvements.

The word “parcel,” for the purposes of this report, refers to an individual property that has been assigned an Assessor’s Parcel Number by the San Joaquin County Assessor. The San Joaquin County Auditor-Controller uses Assessor’s Parcel Numbers and specific Tax Codes to identify the parcels assessed on the County Tax Roll within special benefit districts.

2.1 District Formation and Annexation History

The District is currently comprised of 16 distinct zones within the City. New zones may be annexed into the District if approved via property owner balloting proceedings.

ZONES 1 AND 2

A report was prepared in 2003 for Zones 1 and 2. Property owner balloting proceedings were conducted, effective for the Fiscal Year 2004/05 assessment. After attaining property owner approval, the City began levying and collecting these assessments on the County Tax Roll in order to provide continuous funding for the related improvements.

ZONES 3 THROUGH 7

In 2004, separate reports were prepared for Zones 3, 4, 5 & 6, and 7. Property owner balloting proceedings were conducted within Zones 3 and 4 for the Fiscal Year 2004/05 assessment and within Zones 5 through 7 for the Fiscal Year 2005/06 assessment. After attaining property owner approval, the City began levying and collecting these assessments on the County Tax Roll in order to provide continuous funding for the related improvements.

ZONES 8 THROUGH 12

A separate report was prepared in 2005 for Zones 8 through 12. Property owner balloting proceedings were conducted for the Fiscal Year 2005/06 assessment. After attaining property owner approval, the City began levying and collecting these assessments on the County Tax Roll in order to provide continuous funding for the related improvements.

ZONE 13

A separate engineer’s report was prepared in 2007 for Zone 13. Property owner balloting proceedings were conducted for the Fiscal Year 2007/08 assessment. After attaining property owner approval, the City began levying and collecting these assessments on the County Tax Roll in order to provide continuous funding for the related improvements.

ZONES 14 THROUGH 16

A separate engineer’s report was prepared in 2008 for Zones 14 through 16. Property owner balloting proceedings were conducted for the Fiscal Year 2008/09 assessment. Property owner approval was attained; the City will begin levying and collecting these assessments on the County Tax Roll in order to provide continuous funding for the related improvements.

2.2 *Effect of Proposition 218*

On November 5, 1996, California voters approved Proposition 218 (Government Code commencing with Section 53739) by a margin of 56.5% to 43.5%. The provisions of the Proposition, now a part of the California Constitution, add substantive and procedural requirements to assessments, which affect the City of Lodi landscape maintenance assessments.

The Act, Article XIID of the Constitution of the State of California and the Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act are referred to collectively as the "Assessment Law".

3. PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

ZONE 1 – ALMONDWOOD ESTATES

Zone 1 is comprised of the Almondwood Estates subdivision; the facilities within Zone 1 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. A masonry wall and 13.5' wide landscaping area along the east side of Stockton Street from the project's north boundary to Almond Drive, including the angled corner section at Elgin Avenue, approximately 1220 linear feet.
- B. A masonry wall and 13.5' wide landscaping area along the north side of Almond Drive from the project's east boundary westerly to Stockton Street, including the angled corner sections at Blackbird Place and Stockton Street, approximately 340 linear feet.
- C. Street parkway trees located within the public street within the Zone 1 boundary.
- D. Public park land area of 0.69 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

Zone 1 consists of a 74-lot residential development located in the southeastern portion of the City.

Zone 1 includes 74 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 1 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by the Zone 1 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 2 – CENTURY MEADOWS ONE, UNITS 2 & 3

Zone 2 is comprised of Century Meadows One, Units 2 & 3 the facilities within Zone 2 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. A masonry wall and 13.5' wide landscaping area along the north side of Harney Lane from the project's east boundary to the west boundary, including the 2 angled corner sections at Poppy Drive, approximately 1200 linear feet.
- B. Street parkway trees located within the public street within the Zone 2 boundary.
- C. Public park land area of 1.24 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

Zone 2 consists of a 133-lot residential development located in the south-central portion of the City.

Zone 2 includes 133 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 2 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 2 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 3 – MILLSBRIDGE II

Zone 3 is comprised of Millsbridge II; the facilities within Zone 3 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. Street parkway trees located within the public street within the Zone 3 boundary.
- B. Public park land area of 0.30 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per one thousand persons served.

Zone 3 consists of a 39-lot residential development located in the southwestern portion of the City.

Zone 3 includes 39 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for the Zone 3 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 3 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 4 – ALMOND NORTH

Zone 4 is comprised of the Almond North subdivision; the facilities within Zone 4 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. Street parkway trees located within the public street within the Zone 4 boundary.
- B. Public park land area of 0.32 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per one thousand persons served.

Zone 4 consists of a 28-lot residential development, including 6 potential duplex lots and is located in the southeastern portion of the City.

Zone 4 includes a maximum of 34 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 4 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 4 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 5 – LEGACY ESTATES I & II AND KIRST ESTATES

Zone 5 is comprised of Legacy Estates I, Legacy Estates II and Kirst Estates; the facilities within Legacy Estates I of Zone 5 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. A masonry wall and 13.5' wide landscaping strip, divided by a 4-foot wide meandering sidewalk, along the north side of Harney Lane at the back of lots 10-24 of Legacy Estates I, approximately 950 linear feet.
- B. Street parkway trees located within the public street within the Zone 5 boundary.
- C. Public park land area of 0.720 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

The improvements within Legacy Estates II of Zone 5 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. A masonry wall and 13.5' wide landscaping strip, divided by a 4-foot wide meandering sidewalk, along the west side of Mills Avenue from the project's southern boundary on Mills Avenue to the intersection of Wyndham Way, approximately 590 linear feet.
- B. A masonry wall and 13.5' wide landscaping strip, divided by a 4-foot wide meandering sidewalk, along the north side of Harney Lane at the back of lots 69-77 of Legacy Estates II, approximately 525 linear feet.
- C. Street parkway trees located within the public street within the Zone 5 boundary.
- D. Public park land area of 1.31 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

The facilities within Kirst Estates of Zone 5 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. Street parkway trees located within the public street within the Zone 5 boundary.
- B. Public park land area of 0.06 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

Zone 5 consists of a 77-lot-residential development (Legacy Estates I), a 140-lot residential development (Legacy Estates II) and a 6-lot residential development (Kirst Estates) located in the southwestern portion of the City. Each lot benefits equally from the facilities within Zone 5. Zone 5 includes 223 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 5 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 5 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 6 – THE VILLAS

Zone 6 is comprised of The Villas subdivision; the facilities within Zone 6 that will be operated serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. A masonry wall and 8.5' wide landscaping area along the east side of Panzani Way from the project's south boundary to the intersection of Porta Rosa Drive, approximately 120 linear feet.
- B. A masonry wall and 27.5 to 43.0-foot variable width landscaping strip, divided by a 4-foot wide meandering sidewalk, along the north side of Harney Lane from Panzani Way to the frontage road, approximately 425 linear feet.
- C. A masonry wall and 15.0 to 44.0-foot variable width landscaping strip, divided by a 4-foot wide meandering sidewalk, along the west of the frontage road and the east side of San Martino Way from Harney Lane to the project's north boundary, approximately 700 linear feet.
- D. Ten 24-foot wide, common access driveways dispersed throughout the residential area, approximately 1200 linear feet.
- E. Parcel B, between lots 1 and 50, a variable width landscaping strip, approximately 250 linear feet.
- F. Street parkway trees located within the public street within the Zone 6 boundary.
- G. Public park land area of 0.75 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

Zone 6 consists of an 80-lot residential development located in the southeastern portion of the City.

Zone 6 includes 80 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 6 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 6 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 7 – WOODLAKE MEADOWS

Zone 7 is comprised of Woodlake Meadow; the facilities within Zone 7 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. Public park land area of 0.05 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per one thousand persons served.

Zone 7 consists of a 5-lot residential development located in the northwestern portion of the City.

Zone 7 includes 5 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 7 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 7 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 8 – VINTAGE OAKS

Zone 8 is comprised of the Vintage Oaks Subdivision and the adjacent parcel to the north (APN 058-230-05); the facilities within Zone 8 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. A masonry wall and 13.5' wide landscaping strip, including a 4-foot wide sidewalk, extending north and south of the future Vintage Oaks Court along the east side of S. Lower Sacramento Road for a total distance of approximately 252 linear feet.
- B. A 9.5' wide landscaping strip in the east half of the Lower Sacramento Road median, west of the Zone 8 boundary.
- C. Street parkway trees located within the public street (Vintage Oaks Court) within the Zone 8 boundary.
- D. Public park land area of 0.15895 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

Zone 8 consists of a 15-lot low-density residential development (Vintage Oaks) and a 2-lot low-density residential development (APN 058-230-05) bounded by DeBenedetti Park (APN 058-230-05) to the North, the Sunnyside Estates development to the South, Ellerth E. Larson Elementary School to the East and Lower Sacramento Road to the West.

Zone 8 includes 17 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 8 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 8 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 9 – INTERLAKE SQUARE

Zone 9 is comprised of the Interlake Square Subdivision; the facilities within Zone 9 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. Street parkway trees located within the public rights-of-way of School Street and Park Street within the Zone 9 boundary.
- B. Public park land area of 0.10285 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

Zone 9 consists of an 11-lot low-density residential development (Interlake Square) located north of Park Street, generally south of Sierra Vista Place, east of South School Street and generally west of Sacramento Street.

Zone 9 includes 11 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 9 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 9 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 10 – LAKESHORE PROPERTIES

Zone 10 is comprised of the Lakeshore Properties subdivision; the facilities within Zone 10 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. Public park land area of 0.06545 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per one thousand persons served.

Zone 10 consists of a 7-lot low-density residential development (Lakeshore Properties) located on the southwest corner of the Lakeshore Drive/Tienda Drive intersection within the City.

Zone 10 includes 7 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 10 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 10 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 11 – TATE PROPERTY

Zone 11 is comprised of the Tate Property development; the facilities within Zone 11 of the District that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. A masonry wall and 13.5' wide landscaping strip, divided by a 4-foot wide meandering sidewalk, along the north side of Harney Lane, immediately east of Legacy Way, approximately 140 linear feet.
- B. Street parkway trees located within the public street (Legacy Way) within the Zone 11 boundary.
- C. Public park land area of 0.06545 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

Zone 11 consists of a 7-lot low-density residential development located in the northeast corner of the Harney Lane/Legacy Way intersection within the City.

Zone 11 includes 7 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 11 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 11 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 12 – WINCHESTER WOODS

Zone 12 is comprised of the Winchester Woods subdivision; the facilities within Zone 12 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. Public park land area of 0.0612 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per one thousand persons served.

Zone 12 consists of an 8-lot medium-density residential development located generally south of Wimbledon Drive, east of The Oaks apartment complex (APN 060-220-29) and west of Winchester Drive in the southeasterly portion of the City.

Zone 12 includes 8 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 12 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 12 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 13 – GUILD AVENUE INDUSTRIAL

Zone 13 is comprised of 8 industrial zoned parcels; the facilities within Zone 13 that will be operated, serviced and maintained are generally described as follows:

- A. A traffic signal at the intersection of Highway 12 (Victor Road) and Guild Avenue.
- B. A 15.0 foot irrigated, landscaped strip in a 16.0 foot median in Victor Road (Highway 12) south of the Zone 13 boundary, extending west from the current City limits for a distance of 700 feet.
- C. A 28.5 foot irrigated landscape strip on the north side of Victor Road (Highway 12), extending westerly from the current City limits to 231 feet west of the Guild Avenue intersection centerline and having a total length of 1,485 feet.
- D. Street sweeping along the north and south side of Victor Road (Highway 12) and along the median and curbing from 231 feet west of the Guild Avenue intersection centerline to the current City limits.

Zone 13 consists of 8 industrial parcels located on Guild Avenue, north of Lockeford Street. The benefit from facilities within Zone 13 for each lot has been determined based on an acreage basis.

Zone 13 includes 93.104 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 13 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 13 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 14 – LUCA PLACE

Zone 14 is comprised of the Luca Place subdivision; the facilities within Zone 14 that will be operated, serviced, maintained and improved are generally described as follows:

- A. A 6.5-foot irrigated landscape strip in the east half of the Westgate Drive median, west of the Zone 14 boundary.
- B. Street parkway trees located within the public street (Westgate Drive), within the Zone 14 boundary.
- C. Public park land area of 0.13005 acres in size equivalent to the current level of service standard for park area within the City of 3.4 acres per thousand persons served.

Zone 14 consists of a 17-lot, medium-density, residential development (Luca Place) bounded by Vintner's Square shopping center to the north, east and south and Westgate Drive to the west. Each lot benefits equally from the facilities within Zone 14.

When subdivided, Zone 14 will include 17 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 14 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 14 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 15 – GUILD AVENUE INDUSTRIAL

Zone 15 is comprised of 4 industrial zoned parcels; the facilities within Zone 15 that will be operated, serviced, maintained and improved are generally described as follows:

- A. A traffic signal at the intersection of Highway 12 (Victor Road) and Guild Avenue.
- B. A 15.0 foot irrigated, landscaped strip in a 16.0 foot median in Victor Road (Highway 12) south of the Zone 15 boundary, extending west from the current City limits for a distance of 700 feet.
- C. A 28.5 foot irrigated landscape strip on the north side of Victor Road (Highway 12), extending westerly from the current City limits to 231 feet west of the Guild Avenue intersection centerline and having a total length of 1,485 feet.
- D. Street sweeping along the north and south side of Victor Road (Highway 12) and along the median and curbing from 231 feet west of the Guild Avenue intersection centerline to the current City limits.

Zone 15 consists of 4 industrial zoned parcels, 3 located on Guild Avenue, north of Lockeford Street and 1 located on Victor Road, east of Guild Avenue. The benefit from facilities within Zone 15 for each lot has been determined based on an acreage basis.

Zone 15 includes 36.268 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 15 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 15 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

ZONE 16 – WEST KETTLEMAN LANE COMMERCIAL

Zone 16 is comprised of 2 commercial office parcels; the facilities within Zone 16 that will be operated, serviced, maintained and improved are generally described as follows:

- A. A variable width (15 to 18 feet) irrigated, landscaped strip in the segmented median in W. Kettleman Lane (Highway 12) extending west from Ham Lane to Westgate Drive and having a total landscaped area of 36,505 square feet.
- B. A variable width (12 to 20 feet) irrigated landscape strip in the segmented median in Lower Sacramento Road extending south from the north boundary of parcel APN 027-410-06 (2429 W. Kettleman Lane) to the south boundary of APN 058-030-13 (1551 S. Lower Sacramento Road) and having a total landscaped area of 13,490 square feet.

Zone 16 consists of 2 commercial office parcels located on W. Kettleman Lane, west of Lakeshore Drive and east of Mills Avenue. The benefit from facilities within Zone 16 for each lot has been determined based on an acreage and land use basis.

Zone 16 includes 8.37 Dwelling Unit Equivalents.

In compliance with Proposition 218, an Assessment Ballot procedure for Zone 16 was held and ballots were tabulated at a public hearing where the property owners approved the new assessment. As this project is developed, plans and specifications for the amenity improvements to be maintained by the funds generated by Zone 16 shall be filed with the City and will be incorporated into this report by reference.

4. METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT

Pursuant to the Act, the net amounts to be assessed are apportioned by a formula or method that fairly distributes the net amount to be assessed among all parcels in proportion to benefits received from the improvements. The provisions of Article XIII C and XIII D of the California Constitution (Proposition 218) require the agency to separate the general benefit from special benefit, whereas only special benefits may be assessed.

IMPROVEMENT BENEFIT FINDINGS

The assessments outlined in Section 5 of this report are proposed to cover the estimated costs of providing all necessary service, operation, administration, and maintenance for each zone within the District. It has been determined that each assessable parcel within the District receives proportional special benefits from the improvements. The improvements were constructed and installed for the benefit of the parcels within the District in connection with their development; each parcel's relatively similar proximity to the improvements necessitates similar proportionate benefit allocation. Each parcel that receives special benefit from the improvements is assessed.

SPECIAL BENEFITS

The method of apportionment is based on the premise that each of the assessed parcels within the District receives special benefit from the improvements maintained and financed by District assessments. Specifically, the assessments associated with each zone are outlined in Section 5 of this report.

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT

The District provides operation, service, and maintenance to all the specific local improvements and associated appurtenances located within the public right-of-ways in each of the various zones throughout the District. The annual assessments are based on the historical and estimated cost to operate, to service and to maintain the improvements that provide a special benefit to parcels within the District. The various improvements within each zone are identified and budgeted separately, including all expenditures, deficits, surpluses, revenues and reserves.

The assessments outlined in this section represent the proportionate special benefit to each property within the District and the basis of calculating each parcel's proportionate share of the annual costs associated with the improvements. The costs associated with the maintenance and operation of special benefit improvements shall be collected through annual assessments from each parcel receiving such benefit. The funds collected shall be dispersed and used for only the services and operation provided to the District.

The basis of determining each parcel's special benefit utilizes a weighting formula commonly known as a Dwelling Unit Equivalent (DUE). The developed single-family residential parcel is used as the base-unit for the calculation of assessments and is defined as 1.00 DUE. All other property types are assigned a DUE that reflects their proportional special benefit from the improvements as compared to the single-family residential parcel (weighted comparison).

To determine the DUE for multi-family residential (3 or more units), industrial, commercial or office parcels, a Benefit Unit Factor (BUF) is assigned to each property type. The assigned BUF multiplied by the parcel's specific acreage determines the DUE.

The following table provides a listing of the various land use types and the corresponding BUF used to calculate a parcel's DUE and proportionate benefit:

PROPERTY TYPE	BENEFIT UNIT FACTOR
Single-Family Residential	1.00 per Property
Multi-Family Residential (Duplex)	2.00 per Property
Multi-Family Residential (3 or more units)	5.00 per Acre
Commercial or Office	
For the First 7.5 Acres	5.00 per Acre
For the Next 7.5 Acres	2.50 per Acre
For All Acreage Over 15.0 Acres	1.25 per Acre
Industrial	4.00 per Acre
Exempt	Not Applicable
Other	Case-by-Case

Exempt – Certain parcels, because of use, size, shape, or state of development, may be assigned a zero DUE, which will consequently result in a zero assessment for those parcels for that fiscal year. All parcels having such a zero DUE for the previous fiscal year shall annually be reconsidered to determine if the reason for assigning the zero DUE is still valid for the next fiscal year. Parcels which may be expected to have a zero DUE assigned are typically parcels which are all, or nearly all, publicly landscaped, parcels in public ownership, parcels owned by a public utility company and/or used for public utilities, public parks, public schools, and remainder parcels too small or narrow for reasonable residential or commercial use, unless actually in use.

Area Adjustments – Parcels which have an assessment determined by area and which have a portion of the parcel occupied by public or public utility uses separate from the entitled use and located in easements, prior to the multiplication by the DUE, shall have the area of the parcel adjusted to a usable area to reflect the loss or partial loss of the entitled use in those areas. This reduction shall not apply for normal peripheral and interior lot line public utility easements generally existing over the whole subdivision.

As previously noted, the District is comprised of several distinct zones. These zones encompass specific developments where the parcels receive a direct and special benefit from the operation, service, and maintenance of the related improvements. The basis of benefit and proportionate assessment for all parcels within the District is established by each parcel's calculated DUE and their proportionate share of the improvement costs based on their proportionate DUE within the zone. The method used to calculate the assessment for each zone is as follows:

$$\text{Total Estimated Costs} / \text{Total DUE (Zone)} = \text{Assessment per DUE}$$

$$\text{Assessment per DUE} \times \text{Total DUE per Parcel} = \text{Assessment per Parcel}$$

ASSESSMENT RANGE FORMULA

Any new or increased assessment requires certain noticing and meeting requirements by law. Prior to the passage of Proposition 218, legislative changes in the Article XIID of the Constitution of the State of California defined the definition of “new or increased assessment” to exclude certain conditions. These conditions included “any assessment that does not exceed an assessment formula or range of assessments previously adopted by the agency or approved by the voters in the area where the assessment is imposed.” This definition and conditions were later confirmed through SB919 (Proposition 218 implementing legislation).

The purpose of establishing an assessment range formula is to provide for reasonable increases and inflationary adjustments to annual assessments without requiring costly noticing and mailing procedures, which could add to the District costs and assessments. As part of the District’s proposed assessment for Fiscal Year 2003/04, Fiscal Year 2004/05, Fiscal Year 2005/06, Fiscal Year 2007/08 and Fiscal Year 2008/09 balloting of property owners was required, pursuant to Proposition 218. The property owner ballots included an assessment to be approved, as well as the approval of an assessment range formula. Property owners within the District approved the proposed assessment and the assessment range formula.

The assessment range formula shall be applied to all future assessments within the District. Generally, if the proposed annual assessment for the current fiscal year is less than or equal to the maximum assessment (or adjusted maximum assessment), then the proposed annual assessment is not considered an increased assessment. The maximum assessment is equal to the initial Assessment approved by property owners adjusted annually by the following criteria:

1. Beginning in the second fiscal year, and each fiscal year thereafter, the maximum assessment will be recalculated annually.
2. The new adjusted maximum assessment for the year represents the prior year’s maximum assessment adjusted by the greater of:
 - (a) 5%, or
 - (b) The annual increase in the CPI.

Each year the annual increase in the CPI shall be computed. For Fiscal Year 2010/11, the increase in CPI is the percentage difference between the CPI of December 2009 and the CPI for the previous December, as provided and established by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (FY 2010/11 CPI increase is 2.61%). This percentage difference shall then establish the allowed increase based on CPI. The index used shall be all urban consumers for the San Francisco-Oakland-San Jose area. Should the Bureau of Labor Statistics revise such index or discontinue the preparation of such index, the City shall use the revised index or comparable system as approved by the Council for determining fluctuations in the cost of living.

If CPI is less than 5%, then the allowable adjustment to the maximum assessment is 5%. If CPI is greater than 5%, then the allowable adjustment to the maximum assessment is based on CPI. The maximum assessment is adjusted annually and is calculated independent of the District’s annual budget and proposed annual assessment. Any proposed annual assessment (rate per DUE) less than or equal to this maximum assessment is not considered an increased assessment, even if the proposed assessment is greater than the assessment applied in the prior fiscal year.

The following table illustrates how the assessment range formula shall be applied:

Example	CPI % Increase	5.00% Increase	Max % Increase Without Re-Balloting	Prior Year Max Rate Per DUE	Increase Per DUE	New Max Rate Per DUE
1	5.25%	5.00%	5.25%	\$403.00	\$21.16	\$424.16
2	3.44%	5.00%	5.00%	\$403.00	\$20.15	\$423.15

For example, if the percentage change in CPI is greater than 5%, as in Example 1, then the percentage adjustment to the maximum assessment will be by CPI. If the percentage change in CPI is less than 5%, as in Example 2, then the percentage adjustment to the maximum assessment will be 5%.

As previously illustrated, the maximum assessment will be recalculated and adjusted annually. However, the Council may reduce or freeze the maximum assessment at any time by amending the annual engineer's report.

Although the maximum assessment will normally increase each year, the actual District assessments may remain virtually unchanged. The maximum assessment adjustment is designed to establish a reasonable limit on District assessments. The maximum assessment calculated each year does not require or facilitate an increase to the annual assessment and neither does it restrict assessments to the adjustment maximum amount. If the budget and assessments for the fiscal year do not require an increase, or the increase is less than the adjusted maximum assessment, then the required budget and assessment may be applied without additional property owner balloting. If the budget and assessments calculated requires an increase greater than the adjusted maximum assessment then the assessment is considered an increased assessment. In order to impose an increased assessment, the Council must comply with the provisions of Proposition 218 (Article XIII D Section 4c of the California Constitution). Proposition 218 requires a public hearing and certain protest procedures including mailed notice of the public hearing and property owner protest balloting. Property owners, through the balloting process, must approve the proposed assessment increase. If the proposed assessment is approved, then a new maximum assessment is established for the District. If the proposed assessment is not approved, the Council may not levy an assessment greater than the adjusted maximum assessment previously established for the District.

5. ESTIMATE OF COSTS

5.1 Definitions

Definitions of maintenance items, words and phrases are shown below:

Fiscal Year – One year period of time beginning July 1 of a given year and ending June 30 of the following year.

Landscape Maintenance Labor – The estimated labor costs of maintaining and servicing the trees, shrubs, turf and ground cover areas within the District.

Maintenance Materials & Supplies – The estimated cost of materials necessary for maintaining, cleaning and servicing the landscaped areas and parklands within the District.

Irrigation Water – The cost of water used for irrigating the landscaping improvements of the District.

Utilities – The cost of electricity used for irrigation within the District.

Equipment Maintenance & Operation – The cost of materials and labor necessary for maintaining, repairing, and operating equipment (includes vehicles, benches, playground equipment, graffiti and litter removal, etc.) used for all aspects of maintenance in the District.

Maintenance Personnel – The estimated cost for personnel to perform maintenance duties within the District.

Contract Maintenance – The estimated cost of performing contracted maintenance within the District.

Consultant – Costs associated with outside consultant fees in order to comply with Assessment Law and placement of assessment onto the San Joaquin County Tax Roll each year.

County Administration – Costs of the County of San Joaquin related to the placement of assessments on the tax roll each year.

Insurance – The estimated costs to provide insurance for District personnel and staff.

Contingencies – An amount of 50% of the maintenance costs may be included to build a Reserve and Contingency Fund. The Act allows the assessments to "...include a reserve which shall not exceed the estimated costs of maintenance and servicing to December 10th of the fiscal year, or whenever the city expects to receive its apportionment of special assessments and tax collections from the county, whichever is later."

Total Dwelling Unit Equivalent – Dwelling Unit Equivalent (DUE) is a numeric value calculated for each parcel based on the parcel's land use. The DUE shown in the District/Zone budget represents the sum total of all parcels' DUE that receive benefit from the improvements. Refer to Section 4 for a more complete description of DUE.

Assessment per DUE – This amount represents the rate being applied to each parcel's individual DUE. The Assessment per Dwelling Unit Equivalent is the result of dividing the total Balance to Levy, by the sum of the District DUEs, for the Fiscal Year. This amount is always rounded down to the nearest even penny for tax bill purposes.

5.2 Zone-Specific Budgets and Reserve Information

ZONE 1 – ALMONDWOOD ESTATES

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$4,254.91
Masonry Block Walls	500.00
Street Trees	1,230.77
Park Maintenance	7,358.31
Total Operation Costs	\$13,343.99
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$1,767.12
Publication	141.39
City Administration Fee	1,133.83
County Administration Fee	183.76
Total Administration Costs	\$3,226.10
Total Estimated Costs	\$16,570.09
Contribution to Reserves	2,000.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.95
Total Assessment	\$18,571.04
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$39,963.39</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$2,600.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	500.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$3,100.00
Wall Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$13,500.00
Contribution to Wall Reserve	1,500.00
Estimated Wall Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$15,000.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$18,100.00

ZONE 2 – CENTURY MEADOWS ONE, UNIT 2 & 3

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$5,660.93
Masonry Block Walls	500.00
Street Trees	3,204.25
Park Maintenance	<u>13,225.07</u>
Total Operation Costs	\$22,590.25
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$2,991.59
Publication	254.12
City Administration Fee	1,919.49
County Administration Fee	<u>301.99</u>
Total Administration Costs	\$5,467.19
Total Estimated Costs	\$28,057.44
Contribution to Reserves	2,500.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.64
Total Assessment	\$30,558.08
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	\$54,360.23

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$3,000.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	<u>500.00</u>
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$3,500.00
Wall Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$16,000.00
Contribution to Wall Reserve	<u>2,000.00</u>
Estimated Wall Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$18,000.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$21,500.00

ZONE 3 – MILLSBRIDGE II

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$587.04
Street Trees	668.44
Park Maintenance	4,702.00
Total Operation Costs	\$5,957.48
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$788.94
Publication	74.52
City Administration Fee	506.21
County Administration Fee	80.43
Total Administration Costs	\$1,450.10
Total Estimated Costs	\$7,407.58
Contribution to Reserves	500.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.06
Total Assessment	\$7,907.64
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$16,447.95</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$2,000.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	500.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$2,500.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$2,500.00

ZONE 4 – ALMOND NORTH

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$1,576.65
Street Trees	445.62
Park Maintenance	<u>3,380.84</u>
Total Operation Costs	\$5,403.11
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$715.53
Publication	64.96
City Administration Fee	459.10
County Administration Fee	<u>60.37</u>
Total Administration Costs	\$1,299.96
Total Estimated Costs	\$6,703.07
Contribution to Reserves	500.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.17
Total Assessment	\$7,203.24
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$14,716.65</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$1,500.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	500.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$2,000.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$2,000.00

ZONE 5 – LEGACY ESTATES I & II AND KIRST ESTATES

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$9,085.96
Masonry Block Walls	500.00
Street Trees	4,265.26
Park Maintenance	<u>22,174.37</u>
Total Operation Costs	\$36,025.59
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$4,770.81
Publication	426.08
City Administration Fee	3,061.08
County Administration Fee	<u>453.05</u>
Total Administration Costs	\$8,711.02
Total Estimated Costs	\$44,736.61
Contribution to Reserves	1,000.00
Rounding Adjustment	<u>0.69</u>
Total Assessment	\$45,737.30
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	\$68,733.51

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$3,200.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	<u>500.00</u>
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$3,700.00
Wall Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$7,000.00
Contribution to Wall Reserve	<u>500.00</u>
Estimated Wall Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$7,500.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$11,200.00

ZONE 6 – THE VILLAS

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$12,700.37
Masonry Block Walls	800.00
Street Trees	848.81
Park Maintenance	<u>7,954.93</u>
Total Operation Costs	\$22,304.11
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$2,953.70
Publication	152.85
City Administration Fee	1,895.17
County Administration Fee	<u>240.00</u>
Total Administration Costs	\$5,241.72
Total Estimated Costs	\$27,545.83
Contribution to Reserves	3,000.00
Rounding Adjustment	<u>(0.23)</u>
Total Assessment	\$30,545.60
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	\$56,176.81

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$3,800.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	<u>1,000.00</u>
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$4,800.00
Wall Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$14,100.00
Contribution to Wall Reserve	<u>2,000.00</u>
Estimated Wall Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$16,100.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$20,900.00

ZONE 7 – WOODLAKE MEADOWS

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$49.72
Park Maintenance	497.18
Total Operation Costs	\$546.90
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$72.43
Publication	9.55
City Administration Fee	46.47
County Administration Fee	6.89
Total Administration Costs	\$135.34
Total Estimated Costs	\$682.24
Contribution to Reserves	0.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.06
Total Assessment	\$682.30
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$1,159.17</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$0.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	0.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$0.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$0.00

ZONE 8 – VINTAGE OAKS

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$4,823.71
Masonry Block Walls	300.00
Street Trees	244.03
Park Maintenance	<u>1,383.07</u>
Total Operation Costs	\$6,750.81
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$894.00
Publication	32.48
City Administration Fee	573.61
County Administration Fee	<u>42.71</u>
Total Administration Costs	\$1,542.80
Total Estimated Costs	\$8,293.61
Contribution to Reserves	316.89
Rounding Adjustment	<u>0.00</u>
Total Assessment	\$8,610.50
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	\$8,610.65

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$2,090.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	<u>66.89</u>
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$2,156.89
Wall Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$1,635.00
Contribution to Wall Reserve	<u>250.00</u>
Estimated Wall Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$1,885.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$4,275.00

ZONE 9 – INTERLAKE SQUARE

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$101.16
Street Trees	116.71
Park Maintenance	894.93
Total Operation Costs	\$1,112.80
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$147.37
Publication	21.02
City Administration Fee	94.55
County Administration Fee	14.06
Total Administration Costs	\$277.00
Total Estimated Costs	\$1,389.80
Contribution to Reserves	0.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.16
Total Assessment	\$1,389.96
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$2,652.23</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$0.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	0.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$0.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$0.00

ZONE 10 – LAKESHORE PROPERTIES

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$56.95
Park Maintenance	569.50
Total Operation Costs	\$626.45
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$82.96
Publication	13.37
City Administration Fee	53.23
County Administration Fee	7.95
Total Administration Costs	\$157.51
Total Estimated Costs	\$783.96
Contribution to Reserves	0.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.04
Total Assessment	\$784.00
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$1,417.28</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$0.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	0.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$0.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$0.00

ZONE 11 – TATE PROPERTY

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$370.54
Masonry Block Walls	100.00
Street Trees	53.05
Park Maintenance	<u>569.50</u>
Total Operation Costs	\$1,093.09
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$144.76
Publication	13.37
City Administration Fee	92.88
County Administration Fee	<u>3.00</u>
Total Administration Costs	\$254.01
Total Estimated Costs	\$1,347.10
Contribution to Reserves	0.00
Rounding Adjustment	<u>(0.02)</u>
Total Assessment	\$1,347.08
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	\$2,106.47

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$39.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	<u>0.00</u>
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$39.00
Wall Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$98.00
Contribution to Wall Reserve	<u>0.00</u>
Estimated Wall Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$98.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$137.00

ZONE 12 – WINCHESTER WOODS

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$65.09
Park Maintenance	650.86
Total Operation Costs	\$715.95
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$94.81
Publication	15.29
City Administration Fee	60.83
County Administration Fee	9.09
Total Administration Costs	\$180.02
Total Estimated Costs	\$895.97
Contribution to Reserves	0.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.03
Total Assessment	\$896.00
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$1,422.14</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$0.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	0.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$0.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$0.00

ZONE 13 – GUILD AVENUE INDUSTRIAL

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$368.51
Street Sweeping	17.85
Total Operation Costs	\$386.36
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$51.17
Publication	177.89
City Administration Fee	32.83
County Administration Fee	7.01
Total Administration Costs	\$268.90
Total Estimated Costs	\$655.26
Contribution to Reserves	50.00
Rounding Adjustment	(0.54)
Total Assessment	\$704.72
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$10,542.49</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$148.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	50.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$198.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$198.00

ZONE 14 – LUCA PLACE

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$356.90
Total Operation Costs	\$356.90
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$47.26
Publication	32.48
City Administration Fee	30.33
County Administration Fee	3.00
Total Administration Costs	\$113.07
Total Estimated Costs	\$469.97
Contribution to Reserves	500.00
Rounding Adjustment	0.05
Total Assessment	\$970.02
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$5,509.19</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$1,000.00
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	500.00
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$1,500.00
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$1,500.00

ZONE 15 – GUILD AVENUE INDUSTRIAL

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$396.76
Street Sweeping	6.95
Total Operation Costs	\$403.71
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$53.46
Publication	69.30
City Administration Fee	34.30
County Administration Fee	5.98
Total Administration Costs	\$163.04
Total Estimated Costs	\$566.75
Contribution to Reserves	18.62
Rounding Adjustment	(0.03)
Total Assessment	\$585.34
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$4,100.29</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$38.60
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	18.62
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$57.22
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$57.22

ZONE 16 – WEST KETTLEMAN LANE COMMERCIAL

Fiscal Year 2010/11 Budget

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Operation Costs	
Landscape	\$71.50
Total Operation Costs	\$71.50
Administration Costs	
Consultant	\$9.47
Publication	15.99
City Administration Fee	6.08
County Administration Fee	1.17
Total Administration Costs	\$32.71
Total Estimated Costs	\$104.21
Contribution to Reserves	6.19
Rounding Adjustment	0.00
Total Assessment	\$110.40
<i>Fiscal Year 2010/11 Maximum Assessment</i>	<i>\$343.45</i>

Reserve Information

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2010	\$12.19
Contribution to Landscape Reserve	6.19
Estimated Landscape Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$18.38
Total Estimated Reserve – June 30, 2011	\$18.38

6. ASSESSMENT DIAGRAMS


Assessment Diagrams have been submitted to the City Clerk in the format required under the provisions of the Act and are made part of this report.

When embossed, and printed in purple ink, this is certified to be a true copy of records of San Joaquin County.
GARY M. FREEMAN, Assessment-Recorder-County Clerk
JAN 2 2 2004
By GARY M. FREEMAN Deputy
N.T.S.

LEGEND:

- ① ASSESSMENT DISTRICT BOUNDARY LINE
 - ASSESSMENT DISTRICT PARCEL NUMBER
- ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM INDEX**
- | BOOK | PAGE | PARCELS |
|------|------|---------|
| 058 | 210 | 31, 32 |
| 058 | 520 | 1-58 |

- NOTES:**
- ASSESSMENTS APPLY ONLY TO LAND LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF LODI AND WITHIN THE DESIGNATED ASSESSOR'S PARCELS.
 - THIS MAP WAS COMPILED FROM THE RECORD INFORMATION AND IS NOT THE RESULT OF A FIELD SURVEY.
 - THIS ASSESSMENT DISTRICT CONTAINS 31.64 ACRES.



ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM
CENTURY MEADOWS ONE, ZONE 2
CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
 NO. 2003-1 CITY OF LODI,
 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEING A PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST
 QUARTER OF SECTION 14, T.3N, R.6E., M.D.B.&M.,
 CITY OF LODI,
 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI THIS 21st
 DAY OF JANUARY 2004.

[Signature]
 CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS THIS 21st
 DAY OF JANUARY 2004.

[Signature]
 SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS
 OF THE CITY OF LODI

FILED THIS 22 DAY OF JANUARY 2004 AT THE HOUR
 OF 3:30 CLOCK PM IN THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE
 COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

[Signature]
 COUNTY RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK
 OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

AN ASSESSMENT WAS LEVIED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI,
 COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ON THE PICES AND PARCELS
 OF LAND SHOWN ON THE ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM. THE ASSESSMENT WAS LEVIED
 AGAINST EACH PARCEL OF LAND SHOWN ON THIS ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM.
 THE ASSESSMENT ROLL WAS RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
 OF STREETS OF THE CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA,
 ON THE 21 DAY OF JANUARY, 2004.
 REFERENCE IS MADE TO THE ASSESSMENT ROLL RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
 SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS FOR THE CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY,
 CALIFORNIA, FOR THE YEAR 2003, AND TO THE ASSESSMENT ROLL RECORDED
 AGAINST EACH PARCEL OF LAND SHOWN ON THIS ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM.

[Signature]
 CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI



DOC # 2004-013613
 31/22/2004 03:20P P#7 00
 Recorded in Official Records
 County of San Joaquin
 Assessor-Recorder-Clerk
 Title by [Signature]

THOMPSON-HYSELL
ENGINEERS
 A DIVISION OF THE HYATT COMPANY, INC.
 1515 15TH STREET, MARLBOROUGH, MA 01901
 (508) 521-8988

CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI

**PROPOSED AMENDED BOUNDARIES
(CENTURY MEADOWS ONE, ZONE 2 ANNEXATION)
CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
NO. 2003-1 CITY OF LODI,
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

BEING A PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST
QUARTER OF SECTION 14, T.3N., R.6E., M.D.B.&M.,
CITY OF LODI,
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

THOMPSON-HYSELL ENGINEERS
1016 12th STREET MODESTO, CALIFORNIA
NOVEMBER, 2004

DOC # 2003-281218

Recorded in Official Records
Page 1 of 1, Fee: 7.00
Comptroller in Charge
GARY W. FREEMAN, City Clerk
RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS
NOVEMBER 2004



FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI, THIS 3 DAY
OF DECEMBER, 2004

Sharon V. Blalock
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

RECORDED THIS 9 DAY OF DECEMBER 2004 AT THE HOUR
OF 11:00 AM IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK
OF ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS IN THE OFFICE OF
THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Lynn W. Freeman by Christina Manno
COUNTY RECORDER
OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

I, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN MAP SHOWING PROPOSED BOUNDARIES
OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1
AND THE WITHIN MAP SHOWING PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF LODI CONSOLIDATED
LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-2, AS SHOWN ON
AT PAGE 105 OF MAPS AND ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS
THEREOF, HELD ON THE 3 DAY OF DECEMBER, 2004, BY
ITS RESOLUTION NO. 2003-1, IS TRUE AND CORRECT.


Sharon V. Blalock
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

THE AMENDED BOUNDARY MAP AMENDS THE BOUNDARY MAP FOR CITY OF LODI
CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1
AND THE BOUNDARY MAP FOR CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-2, AS SHOWN ON
AT PAGE 105 OF MAPS AND ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS
THEREOF, HELD ON THE 3 DAY OF DECEMBER, 2004, BY
ITS RESOLUTION NO. 2003-1, IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

LEGEND:
OVERALL DISTRICT BOUNDARY LINE

SCALE: 1" = 100'

When embossed, and printed in purple ink, this is certified to
be a true copy of records of San Joaquin County. DEC - 3 2003
GARY W. FREEMAN Assessor-Recorder-Co. Clerk
By *[Signature]* Deputy



**PROPOSED AMENDED BOUNDARIES
(ALMOND NORTH, ZONE 4 ANNEXATION)
CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
NO. 2003-1 CITY OF LODI,
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

BEING A PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST
QUARTER OF SECTION 14, T.3N., R.6E., M.D.B.&M.,
CITY OF LODI,
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

THOMPSON-HYSELL ENGINEERS
1016 12th STREET MODESTO, CALIFORNIA
MARCH, 2004

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI, THIS 17th DAY
OF MARCH, 2004.



Sally B. Bolt
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

RECORDED THIS 17th DAY OF MARCH, 2004 AT THE HOUR
OF 11:00 A.M. IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Garry E. Freeman
COUNTY RECORDER
OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

I, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN MAP SHOWING PROPOSED
BOUNDARIES OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE
DISTRICT NO. 2003-1, CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN
COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, WAS FILED FOR RECORD IN THE OFFICE OF
THE COUNTY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF, HELD ON THE
DAY OF MARCH, 2004, BY ITS RESOLUTION NO. 2004-49

Sally B. Bolt
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

THE AMENDED BOUNDARY MAP AROUND THE BOUNDARY MAP FOR CITY OF LODI
CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1,
CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA PRIOR RECORDED
AT BOOK 4 OF MAPS AND ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS
IN FILE NO. 100-100-100-100 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER FOR THE COUNTY OF
SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

DOC # 2004-056434

83/15/7/00

Page 1 of 1


Recorded in Official Records

County of San Joaquin

Recorder Garry E. Freeman

Notary Public in and for the State of California

My Commission Expires on December 31, 2004




LEGEND:

OVERALL DISTRICT BOUNDARY LINE

SCALE 1" = 60'

APN 062-060-33



I hereby certify that this is a true copy of the record consisting of _____ pages if the seal of this office is impressed in purple ink.

Gary Freeman
GARY FREEMAN
 Assessor-Recorder-Clerk
 San Joaquin County, CA



PROPOSED AMENDED BOUNDARIES
 (LEGACY ESTATES I&II AND KIRST ESTATES,
 ZONE 5 ANNEXATION)
 CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
 MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
 NO. 2003-1 CITY OF LODI,
 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEING A PORTION OF THE SOUTHEAST
 QUARTER OF SECTION 15, T.3N., R.6E., M.D.B.&M.,
 CITY OF LODI,
 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

THOMPSON-HYSELL ENGINEERS
 1016 12th STREET
 MODESTO, CALIFORNIA
 JUNE, 2004



FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI THIS 7 DAY
 OF JULY 2004.

Susan D. Beckett
 CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI


RECORDED THIS 14 DAY OF JULY 2004 AT THE HOUR
 OF 10:00 AM IN THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OF THE
 COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Gary W. Freeman
 COUNTY RECORDER
 OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN MAP SHOWING PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF
 CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1,
 CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, WAS PREPARED AND
 COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI AT A REGULAR MEETING HELD ON THE
 DAY OF July 7, 2004, BY ITS RESOLUTION NO. 2004-136

Susan D. Beckett
 CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

THE AMENDED BOUNDARY MAP AMENDS THE BOUNDARY MAP FOR CITY OF LODI
 CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1,
 CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, PREVIOUSLY RECORDED
 AT PAGE 105, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER FOR THE COUNTY OF
 SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.



LEGEND:
 --- OVERALL DISTRICT BOUNDARY LINE

DOC # 2004-155561
 Page 1 of 1 Records
 07/14/2004 12:09 PM P437.08
 Recorder: Gary W. Freeman
 County of San Joaquin
 Assessor-Recorder-Clerk
 Paid by: INDIVIDUAL'S ACCOUNT

**PROPOSED AMENDED BOUNDARIES
(THE VILLAS, ZONE 6 ANNEXATION)
CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
NO. 2003-1 CITY OF LODI,
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

BEING A PORTION OF THE SOUTHEAST
QUARTER OF SECTION 13, T.3N., R.6E., M.D.B.&M.,
CITY OF LODI,
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

THOMPSON-HYSELL ENGINEERS
1016 12th STREET
JUNE, 2004
MODESTO, CALIFORNIA

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI, THIS 7 DAY
OF JULY 2004.



Stan Bell
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

RECORDED THIS 14 DAY OF JULY 2004 AT THE HOUR
OF 12:00 O'CLOCK P.M. IN BOOK NO. 27 PAGE 27
OF MAPS AND ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS IN THE OFFICE OF
THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

GARY W. FREEMAN
COUNTY RECORDER
OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN MAP SHOWING PROPOSED
BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1, CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN
COUNTY, CALIFORNIA WAS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE
CITY OF LODI AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF, HELD ON THE
DAY OF JULY, 2004, BY ITS RESOLUTION NO. 2004-136
Stan Bell
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

DOC # 2004-155562


07/14/2004 12:09P Fee:7.80
Recorded in Official Records
County of San Joaquin
Assessor-Recorder-Clerk
MAIL ROOM



LEGEND:

----- OVERALL DISTRICT BOUNDARY LINE

E 07



I hereby certify that this is a true copy of
the record consisting of _____ pages if the
seal of this office is impressed in purple ink.



Gary Freeman
JUL 14 2004
GARY FREEMAN
Assessor-Recorder-Co Clerk
San Joaquin County, CA

058-13
APN


DOC # 2004-260282
12/27/04 09:13P Rec'd
Recorder's Office
County of San Joaquin
Assessor-Clerk M. FREEMAN
Paid by INDIVIDUAL ON DOCUMENT

LEGEND

① --- ASSESSMENT DISTRICT BOUNDARY LINE
--- ASSESSMENT DISTRICT PARCEL NUMBER

ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM INDEX

BOOK	PAGE	PARCELS
015	230	09



I hereby certify that this is a true copy of the record consisting of _____ pages if the seal of this office is impressed in purple ink.

GARY FREEMAN
Assessor-Recorder Co. Clerk
San Joaquin County, CA

ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM, ZONE 7
WOODLAKE MEADOW
CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
NO. 2003-1 CITY OF STOCKTON,
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEING PORTIONS OF SECTIONS 34,
T.4 N., R.6 E., M.D.B. & M.,
CITY OF STOCKTON,
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

THOMPSON-HYSELL ENGINEERS
1016 12th STREET
MODESTO, CALIFORNIA
OCTOBER, 2004

RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI THIS 15
DAY OF DECEMBER, 2004.

[Signature]
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS THIS 16
DAY OF DECEMBER, 2004.


[Signature]
SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS
OF THE CITY OF LODI

FILED THIS 30th DAY OF December, 2004 AT THE HOUR
OF 02:13 P.M. IN BOOK 541 PAGE 41
MAP OF ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS IN THE OFFICE OF
THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

[Signature]
ASSESSOR-RECORDER-GAULTY-CLERK
OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
Senate A. Davis

AN ASSESSMENT WAS LEVIED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI,
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN STATE OF CALIFORNIA ON THE PICES AND PARCELS
OF LAND SHOWN ON THE ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM. THE ASSESSMENT WAS LEVIED
ON THE DAY OF DECEMBER, 2004. THE ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM AND THE
ASSESSMENT ROLL WERE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
OF STREETS OF THE CITY ON THE 16 DAY OF DECEMBER, 2004.
REFERENCE IS MADE TO THE ASSESSMENT ROLL RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS FOR THE EXACT AMOUNT OF EACH ASSESSMENT
LEVIED AGAINST EACH PARCEL OF LAND SHOWN ON THIS ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM.

[Signature]
CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI





APN
60-230-09
015-230-09

I hereby certify that this is a true copy of the record consisting of 1 pages if the seal of this office is impressed in purple ink.



Gary Freeman
 OCT 27 2004
 GARY FREEMAN
 Assessor-Recorder-Co Clerk
 San Joaquin County, CA



LEGEND

--- OVERALL DISTRICT BOUNDARY LINE
 --- AMENDED BOUNDARY LINE
 --- BOUNDARY LINE
 --- PROPERTY LINE



DOC # 2004-243970
 Recorded in the Public Records
 Office of San Joaquin County, California
 Recorder: GARY W. FREEMAN, Clerk
 Paid by SHANE ON DOCUMENT

PROPOSED AMENDED BOUNDARIES
 (WOODLAKE MEADOW ZONE 7 ANNEXATION)
 CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE
 MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
 NO. 2003-1 CITY OF STOCKTON,
 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEING PORTIONS OF SECTIONS 34,
 T.4 N., R.6 E., M.D.B. & M.,
 CITY OF STOCKTON,
 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA



THOMPSON-HYSELL ENGINEERS
 1016 12th STREET MODESTO, CALIFORNIA
 OCTOBER, 2004

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI
 THIS 20th DAY OF October, 2004.

Sharon R. Blount
 CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

RECORDED THIS 27th DAY OF October, 2004
 AT THE HOUR OF 1:20 O'CLOCK P.M. IN BOOK 5,
 PAGE 37 OF MAP OF ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY
 FACILITIES DISTRICTS IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER
 OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Gary W. Freeman by Cheryl Moreno
 COUNTY RECORDER
 OF SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA




I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN MAP SHOWING PROPOSED
 BOUNDARY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE
 ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1, CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN
 COUNTY, CALIFORNIA WAS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE
 CITY OF LODI AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF, HELD ON THE 20th
 DAY OF October, 2004, BY ITS RESOLUTION NO 2004-216

Sharon R. Blount
 CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI

THE AMENDED BOUNDARY MAP AMENDS THE BOUNDARY MAP FOR
 CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE
 ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1, CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN
 COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA PROH RECORDED AT BOOK 4 OF
 MAPS AND ASSESSMENTS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICTS AT
 PAGE 105, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER FOR THE
 COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

AMENDED ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 2003-1 ZONES 8-12

CITY OF LODI
SAN JOAQUIN
STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI THIS 15
DAY OF November 2003.

Sharon J. Blalock
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN DIAGRAM SHOWING THE PROPOSED ANNETATION INTO THE CITY OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 2003-1, CITY OF LODI, COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WAS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF HELD ON THE 14 TH DAY OF October, 2003, BY ITS RESOLUTION NO. 2003-216.

Sharon J. Blalock
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA


THIS AMENDED ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM WAS ORIGINALLY RECORDED ON OCTOBER 6th 2006, IN BOOK 5, PAGE 68 OF MAPS OF ASSESSMENT DISTRICTS IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

FILED THIS 19 DAY OF May 2005, AT THE HOUR OF 10:50 O'CLOCK A.M., IN BOOK 5 AT PAGE 68 OF MAPS OF ASSESSMENT DISTRICTS IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
Maria W. Shambaugh
DEPUTY COUNTY RECORDER
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

NOTE: FOR A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE LINES AND DIMENSIONS OF EACH PARCEL WITHIN THE DISTRICT REFER TO THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN ASSESSOR'S MAPS.

LEGEND

— ANNETATION BOUNDARY/ BENEFIT ZONE BOUNDARY



DOC # 2005-257277
11/20/2005 12:08 PM
Recorded in Official Records
County of San Joaquin
Recorder-Deputy County Clerk
MAY 19 2005 10:50 AM



NBS


3266 Highway 78 South, Suite 100
Tennessee, CA 92592

Legal Government Solutions


5-78A

**AMENDED ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM
LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 2003-1
ZONES 8-12**

CITY OF LODI
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN
STATE OF CALIFORNIA



Zone	Assessment Number	ASSESSMENT ID	APN
8	8-1	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-2	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-3	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-4	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-5	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-6	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-7	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-8	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-9	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-10	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-11	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-12	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-13	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-14	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-15	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-16	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-17	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-18	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-19	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-20	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-21	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-22	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-23	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-24	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-25	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-26	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-27	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-28	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-29	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-30	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-31	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-32	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-33	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-34	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-35	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-36	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-37	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-38	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-39	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-40	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-41	POR OF	058-230-03
8	8-42	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-1	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-2	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-3	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-4	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-5	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-6	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-7	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-8	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-9	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-10	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-11	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-12	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-13	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-14	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-15	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-16	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-17	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-18	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-19	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-20	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-21	POR OF	058-230-03
11	11-22	POR OF	058-230-03



LEGEND

- ASSESSMENT DISTRICT BOUNDARY
- PARCEL LINES
- ① ASSESSMENT NUMBER



NBS



32805 Highway 79 South, Suite 100
Fremont, CA 94552
Local Government Solutions

5-78A


5-78B

AMENDED ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM
LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 2003-1
ZONES 8-12

CITY OF LODI
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN
STATE OF CALIFORNIA



Zone	Assessment Number	APN
9	9-18	PAR. 06 043 288 07
9	9-19	PAR. 06 043 289 07
9	9-20	PAR. 06 043 290 07
10	10-1	PAR. 06 043 291 07
10	10-2	PAR. 06 043 292 07
10	10-3	PAR. 06 043 293 07
12	12-1	PAR. 06 043 294 07
12	12-2	PAR. 06 043 295 07
12	12-3	PAR. 06 043 296 07
12	12-4	PAR. 06 043 297 07
12	12-5	PAR. 06 043 298 07
12	12-6	PAR. 06 043 299 07
12	12-7	PAR. 06 043 300 07
12	12-8	PAR. 06 043 301 07
12	12-9	PAR. 06 043 302 07
12	12-10	PAR. 06 043 303 07
12	12-11	PAR. 06 043 304 07
12	12-12	PAR. 06 043 305 07
12	12-13	PAR. 06 043 306 07
12	12-14	PAR. 06 043 307 07
12	12-15	PAR. 06 043 308 07
12	12-16	PAR. 06 043 309 07
12	12-17	PAR. 06 043 310 07
12	12-18	PAR. 06 043 311 07
12	12-19	PAR. 06 043 312 07
12	12-20	PAR. 06 043 313 07
12	12-21	PAR. 06 043 314 07
12	12-22	PAR. 06 043 315 07
12	12-23	PAR. 06 043 316 07
12	12-24	PAR. 06 043 317 07
12	12-25	PAR. 06 043 318 07
12	12-26	PAR. 06 043 319 07
12	12-27	PAR. 06 043 320 07
12	12-28	PAR. 06 043 321 07
12	12-29	PAR. 06 043 322 07
12	12-30	PAR. 06 043 323 07



LEGEND
 — ASSESSMENT DISTRICT BOUNDARY
 — PARCEL LINES
 (Circled number) ASSESSMENT NUMBER

NBS

3885 Highway 75 South, Suite 100
 Fremont, CA 94532
 Local Government Solutions


5-78B

5-161

SHEET 1 OF 1

ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM
LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 2003-1
ZONE 13 - GUILD AVENUE INDUSTRIAL

CITY OF LODI
 SAN JOAQUIN
 STATE OF CALIFORNIA



Assessment Number	APN
13-1	049-080-17
13-2	049-080-18
13-3	049-080-19
13-4	049-080-20
13-5	049-080-21
13-6	049-080-22
13-7	049-080-23
13-8	049-080-31

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF LODI THIS 21st DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2003.

Christina M. Frazee
 CITY CLERK
 CITY OF LODI
 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA



I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN DIAGRAM SHOWING THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION MAP OF LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 2003-1, CITY OF LODI, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WAS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF HELD ON THE 19th DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2003, BY ITS RESOLUTION NO. 2003-15.

Christina M. Frazee
 CITY CLERK
 CITY OF LODI
 SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

FILED THIS 22nd DAY OF MAY, 2003, AT THE HOUR OF 1:41 O'CLOCK P.M. IN BOOK 2 AT PAGE 167 OF MAPS OF ASSESSMENT DISTRICTS IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

May W. Frazee
 COUNTY RECORDER
 COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

NOTE: FOR A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE LINES AND DIMENSIONS OF EACH PARCEL WITHIN THE DISTRICT REFER TO THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN ASSESSOR'S MAPS.

Map No. 2003-001-001
 277.81' x 111.74' = 31.14
 200' x 100' = 20,000
 200' x 100' = 20,000



LEGEND

- ANNETATION BOUNDARY
- PARCEL LINES
- (13-8) ASSESSMENT NUMBER

GRAPHIC SCALE



NBS

28605 Highway 79 South, Suite 100
 Fremont, CA 94557

Local Government Solutions

5-161

7. FISCAL YEAR 2010/11 ASSESSMENT ROLL

The assessment roll for each zone is shown on the following pages. The description of each lot or parcel as part of the records of the County Assessor of the County of San Joaquin are, by reference, made part of this Report.

RESOLUTION NO. 2010-121

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL OF
THE CITY OF LODI, CALIFORNIA, CONFIRMING THE
DIAGRAM AND ASSESSMENT OF THE LODI
CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE
DISTRICT NO. 2003-1 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010/11

=====

WHEREAS, the Council previously completed its proceedings in accordance with and pursuant to the Landscape and Lighting Act of 1972, Part 2, Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code (commencing with Section 22500) ("Act") to establish the *Lodi Consolidated Landscape Maintenance District No. 2003-1* ("Assessment District"); and

WHEREAS, the City has retained NBS Government Finance Group, DBA NBS, for the purpose of assisting with the annual levy of assessments within the Assessment District, and to prepare and file an Annual Report, in accordance with 522567 of the Act; and

WHEREAS, the Council has, by previous resolution, declared its intention to hold a Public Hearing on the levy of the proposed assessment and notice of such hearing has been duly given, in accordance with 522626 of the Act; and

WHEREAS, the Council has held and concluded a Public Hearing on July 21, 2010, on the levy of the proposed assessment and has considered all objections.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED, AND ORDERED BY THE COUNCIL, AS FOLLOWS:

1. Purpose of Assessment: The assessment is to be levied and collected to pay the costs of operating, maintaining, and servicing the improvements described in the Annual Report, which is on file with the City Clerk.
2. Compliance with the Law: The assessment is in compliance with all provisions of the Act, Article XIII D of the Constitution of the State of California, and the Proposition 218 Omnibus Implementation Act.
3. Basis of Assessment: The assessment is based upon the estimated benefit from the improvements described in the Annual Report, which is on file with the City Clerk, and such assessment is calculated without regard to property valuation.
4. Confirmation of Diagram and Assessment: The Council hereby confirms the diagram and assessment, as detailed in the Annual Report on file with the City Clerk.
5. Levy of Assessment: Pursuant to §22631 of the Act, the adoption of this resolution shall constitute the levy of an assessment for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011.

6. Filing of Assessment: The Council hereby orders NBS to file the assessment, as confirmed, with the San Joaquin County Auditor-Controller.

Dated: July 21, 2010

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 2010-121 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held July 21, 2010, by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS – Hansen, Hitchcock, Johnson, Mounce, and Mayor Katzakian

NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS – None

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS – None

ABSTAIN: COUNCIL MEMBERS – None



RANDI WOHL
City Clerk



*Please immediately confirm receipt
of this fax by calling 333-6702*

CITY OF LODI
P. O. BOX 3006
LODI, CALIFORNIA 95241-1910

ADVERTISING INSTRUCTIONS

**SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE REGARDING LODI CONSOLIDATED
LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
NO. 2003-1 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010111**

PUBLISH DATE: SATURDAY, JULY 10, 2010


LEGAL AD

TEAR SHEETS WANTED: One (1) please

SEND AFFIDAVIT AND BILL TO: RANDI JOHL, CITY CLERK
City of Lodi
P.O. Box 3006
Lodi, CA 95241-1910

DATED: THURSDAY, JULY 8, 2010

ORDERED BY: RANDI JOHL
CITY CLERK


JENNIFER M. ROBISON, CMC
ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

MARIA BECERRA
ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK

Verify Appearance of this Legal in the Newspaper – Copy to File

Faxed to the Sentinel at 369-1084 at _____ (time) on _____ (date) _____ (pages)
LNS _____ Phoned to confirm receipt of all pages at _____ (time) _____ CF _____ MB _____ JMP (initials)



DECLARATION OF POSTING

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE REGARDING LODI CONSOLIDATED LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2003-1 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010111

On Friday, July 9, 2010, in the City of Lodi, San Joaquin County, California, a Notice of Public Hearing regarding Lodi Consolidated Landscape Maintenance Assessment District No. 2003-1 for fiscal year 2010/11 (attached and marked as Exhibit A) was posted at the following locations:

Lodi Public Library
Lodi City Clerk's Office
Lodi City Hall Lobby
Lodi Carnegie Forum

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 9, 2010, at Lodi, California.

ORDERED BY:

**RANDI JOHL
CITY CLERK**



JENNIFER M. ROBISON, CMC
ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

MARIA BECERRA
ADMINISTRATIVE CLERK

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

RESOLUTION NO. 2010-114

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL
DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO LEVY AND COLLECT
ASSESSMENTS FOR THE LODI CONSOLIDATED
LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT
DISTRICT NO. 2003-1 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010/11

=====

WHEREAS, the City Council previously completed its proceedings in accordance with and pursuant to the Landscape and Lighting Act of 1972, *Part 2*, Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code (commencing with Section 22500) ("Act") to establish the Lodi Consolidated Landscape *Maintenance* Assessment District No. 2003-1 ("Assessment District"); and

WHEREAS, the City of Lodi has retained NBS Government Finance Group, DBS NBS, for the purpose of assisting with the annual levy of the Assessment District, and to prepare and file an Annual Report, in accordance with §22567 of the Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED, AND ORDERED BY THE CITY COUNCIL, AS FOLLOW:

1. Intention: The City Council hereby declares its intention to levy and collect assessments within the Assessment District to pay the costs of the improvements for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 2010 and ending June 30, 2011. The City Council finds that the public's best interest requires such action.
2. Improvements: The improvements include, but are not limited to: turf, shrubs, plants and trees, landscaping, irrigation and drainage systems, graffiti removal, and associated appurtenances within the public right-of-ways or specific easements. Services provided include all necessary service, operations, administration and maintenance required to keep the improvements in a healthy, vigorous, and satisfactory condition.
3. Assessment District Boundaries: The boundaries of the Assessment District are as shown by the assessment diagram filed in the offices of the City Clerk, which map is made a part hereof by reference.
4. Annual Report: Reference is made to the Annual Report prepared by NBS, on file with the City Clerk, for a full and detailed description of the improvements, the boundaries of the Assessment District and zones therein and the proposed assessments upon assessable lots and parcels of land within the Assessment District.
5. Notice of Public Hearing: The City Council hereby declares its intention to conduct a Public Hearing concerning the levy of assessments in accordance with §22629 of the Act. All objections to the assessment, if any, will be considered by the City Council. The Public Hearing will be held on **Wednesday, July 21, 2010, at 7:00 p.m.** or as soon thereafter as is feasible in the City Council Chambers located at 305 West Pine Street, Lodi, CA, 95240. The City Council further orders the City Clerk to publish notice of this resolution in accordance with §22626 of the Act.

6. Increase of Assessment: The maximum assessment is not proposed to increase from the previous year above that previously approved by the property owners (as "increased assessment" is defined in §54954.6 of the Government Code).

Dated: July 7, 2010

=====

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 2010-114 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held July 7, 2010, by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS – Hansen, Johnson, Mounce, and
Mayor Katzakian

NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS – None

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS – Hitchcock

ABSTAIN: COUNCIL MEMBERS – None


RANDI JOHL
City Clerk

CITY COUNCIL

PHIL KATZAKIAN, Mayor
SUSAN HITCHCOCK,
Mayor Pro Tempore
LARRY D. HANSEN
BOB JOHNSON
JOANNE L. MOUNCE

CITY OF LODI

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

CITY HALL, 221 WEST PINE STREET
P.O. BOX 3006
LODI, CALIFORNIA 95241-1910
(209) 333-6706
FAX (209) 333-6710
EMAIL pwdept@lodi.gov
<http://www.lodi.gov>

KONRADT BARTLAM
Interim City Manager
RANDI JOHL
City Clerk
D. STEVEN SCHWABAUER
City Attorney
F. WALLY SANDELIN
Public Works Director

July 16, 2010

NBS
32605 Temecula Parkway, Ste. 100
Temecula, CA 92592

SUBJECT: Public Hearing to Consider Resolution Adopting Final Engineer's Annual Levy Report for Lodi Consolidated Landscape Maintenance Assessment District No. 2003-1 for Fiscal Year 2010/11, and Ordering the Levy and Collection of Assessments

Enclosed is a copy of background information on an item on the City Council agenda of Wednesday, July 21, 2010. The meeting will be held at 7 p.m. in the City Council Chamber, Carnegie Forum, 305 West Pine Street.

The Council will conduct a public hearing on this item. You are welcome to attend and speak at the appropriate time.

If you wish to write to the City Council, please address your letter to City Council, City of Lodi, P. O. Box 3006, Lodi, California, 95241-1910. Be sure to allow time for the mail. Or, you may hand-deliver the letter to City Hall, 221 West Pine Street.

If you wish to address the Council at the Council Meeting, be sure to fill out a speaker's card (available at the Carnegie Forum immediately prior to the start of the meeting) and give it to the City Clerk. If you have any questions about communicating with the Council, please contact Randi Johl, City Clerk, at (209) 333-6702.

If you have any questions about the item itself, please call Chris Boyer, Junior Engineer, at (209) 333-6800, extension 3321.



for: F. Wally Sandelin
Public Works Director

FWS/pmf

Enclosure

cc: City Clerk