

CITY COUNCIL MEETING
JUNE 25, 1986

26

PUC APPLICATIONS

CC-7(f)

City Clerk Reimche presented to the Council a notice of Public Hearings on proposed rate changes and amendment to application to be held by the California Public Utilities Commission on June 23, 25, and July 26, 1986.

Also presented was a letter from Pacific Gas and Electric Company regarding filing of Application No. 86-04-012 in which it requests authority from the California Public Utilities Commission, effective August 11, 1986, to decrease electric rates by approximately \$690.5 million on an annualized basis.

Also presented was a letter from Lawrence W. Harlow, President and Chairman of the American Buslines, Inc., regarding authority to increase California Intrastate Passenger Fares by approximately 13%, pursuant to Section 454 of the Public Utilities Code.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARINGS ON PROPOSED RATE CHANGES
and

Amendment to Application

RECEIVED
1986 MAY 29 AM 8 38

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) will hold public hearings on proposed rate changes. You are invited to attend.

ALICE M. REIMCHE
CITY CLERK
CITY OF ODI

These hearings will consider several issues. They are:

- Changes--both up and down--in the rates for various services that you may receive from Pacific Bell. Information on the various proposed changes in rates are on the following pages.
- The money Pacific Bell spends to modernize its plant, the level of use of its plant, and the Company's overall level of productivity.

The public hearings will be held in:

SAN FRANCISCO Monday, June 23, 1986
2 P.M. and 7 P.M.
Commission Courtroom
State Building
350 McAllister Street

VAN NUYS: Wednesday, June 25, 1986
7 P.M.
Auditorium
Van Nuys Recreation Center
14301 Vanowen Street

LOS ANGELES: Thursday, June 26, 1986
2 P.M.
Commission Courtroom
State Building
107 South Broadway

The locations are accessible to persons with disabilities.

The CPUC welcomes your comments. If you cannot attend these hearings, you may submit written comments to:

California Public Utilities Commission
350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California 94102

or

California Public Utilities Commission
107 South Broadway, Los Angeles, California 90012

Simply state that you are writing about Pacific Bell's Application No. 85-01-034.

WHY RATES ARE CHANGING

The issues to be discussed in the public hearings are part of Pacific Bell's 1986 general rate case which was announced in September, 1984.

Earlier this year the CPUC issued a decision in the first phase of the case, which reduced the Company's revenues. That decision lowered the temporary billing surcharge paid by customers to 2.48 percent.

Pacific has amended its application to propose new rates for various services, including those in the charts on the following pages. Part of this proposal is the elimination of the 2.48 percent surcharge, as well as changes to Centrex rates.

We believe these new rates are necessary to bring rates closer to the costs of providing services, while at the same time ensure everyone who wants telephone service can have it

Pacific Bell estimates that the rates it proposes--if adopted by the CPUC--would increase the average residence customer's monthly bill by \$.71.

Pacific Bell has filed an alternate proposal at the request of the CPUC. This could result in different rates, including basic exchange and Service Area long distance rates, than those shown in the following charts.

The final rates approved by the CPUC may differ from any of these proposals.

The CPUC welcomes your comments. If you cannot attend these hearings, you may submit written comments to:

California Public Utilities Commission
350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California 94102

or

California Public Utilities Commission
107 South Broadway, Los Angeles, California 90012

Simply state that you are writing about Pacific Bell's Application No. 85-01-034.

WHY RATES ARE CHANGING

The issues to be discussed in the public hearings are part of Pacific Bell's 1986 general rate case which was announced in September, 1984.

Earlier this year the CPUC issued a decision in the first phase of the case, which reduced the Company's revenues. That decision lowered the temporary billing surcharge paid by customers to 2.48 percent.

Pacific has amended its application to propose new rates for various services, including those in the charts on the following pages. Part of this proposal is the elimination of the 2.48 percent surcharge, as well as changes to Centrex rates.

We believe these new rates are necessary to bring rates closer to the costs of providing services, while at the same time ensure everyone who wants telephone service can have it

Pacific Bell estimates that the rates it proposes--if adopted by the CPUC--would increase the average residence customer's monthly bill by \$.71.

Pacific Bell has filed an alternate proposal at the request of the CPUC. This could result in different rates, including basic exchange and Service Area long distance rates, than those shown in the following charts.

The final rates approved by the CPUC may differ from any of these proposals.

ADDITIONAL HEARINGS

In addition to the public hearings on June 23, 25 and 26, additional hearings will be held. These will analyze the proposed new rates as well as the issues of the Company's modernization program, and the extent to which its plant has and will be used. The hearings will also consider estimates of the Company's future productivity.

At these hearings the CPUC will receive testimony from Pacific Bell and other interested parties, including the CPUC Public Staff. The Public Staff consists of engineers, accountants, economists and attorneys who independently evaluate the proposals of all parties and present their analysis and recommendations to the CPUC.

You can participate in these proceedings.

If you need advice on how to do so, write the Public Advisor, California Public Utilities Commission, 350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California 94102.

The Public Advisor was established by the CPUC, specifically to assist utility ratepayers, who wish to participate in the evidentiary hearings, as well as the public hearings.

Further information, as well as a copy of Pacific Bell's application and related exhibits, may be inspected at its local Public Offices and at its Headquarters at 140 New Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California 94105 or at the Commission offices listed below.

In addition, a copy of Pacific's application and related exhibits will be furnished by Pacific Bell upon written request to: C. L. McCreight, Staff Director-Rate Case Management, Pacific Bell, 140 New Montgomery Street, Room 922, San Francisco, California 94105 (telephone number: 415-545-1483).

Any comments or inquiries relative to the proposed changes, including a request to receive notice of any hearing on this application, may be directed to one of the California Public Utilities Commission offices at:

350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California 94102

or

107 South Broadway, Los Angeles, California 90012

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED
1986 RATE CHANGES

The following rates are included in the proposals of Pacific Bell. The present rates shown are tariff rates on file with the CPUC. They do not include the federally-imposed access charge or the present surcharges that apply to many services.

The CPUC may grant different rates than those proposed by the Company and may change rates for services not listed below.

SERVICES FOR RESIDENCE CUSTOMERS

| <u>RESIDENCE (NON-LIFELINE)¹</u> | <u>PRESENT TARIFF MONTHLY RATE</u> | <u>PROPOSED MONTHLY RATE</u> |
|---|--|----------------------------------|
| One-Party Measured Local/Zone 1 | \$ 4.45 | \$ 5.00 |
| • Measured Calling Plan | | |
| Monthly Rate Includes | | |
| Calling Allowance of | 3.00 | 2.00 |
| Initial Min. | .04 | .04 |
| Each Addl. Min. | .01 | .01 |
| • Call Allowance Plan | | |
| Monthly Rate for 130 | | |
| Local/Zone 1 Calls | NOT CURRENTLY OFFERED | 4.00 |
| Each Addl. Call Over 131 calls | | .08 Per Call |
| One-Party (Flat) Unlimited Local/Zone 1 | 8.25 | 15.00 |
| Zone Usage Measurement (ZUM) | | |
| Zone 2 - Initial Min. | .08 | .09 |
| Zone 2 - Each Addl. Min. | .03 | .03 |
| Zone 3 - Initial Min. | .10 | .09 |
| Zone 3 - Each Addl. Min. | .05 | .03 |
| Foreign Exchange Access | | |
| One-Party Measured | 8.50 | 9.05 |
| One-Party (Flat) Unlimited Local/Zone 1 | 15.00 | 21.75 |

¹In all charts references to Zone 1, 2 and 3 and to ZUM (Zone Usage Measurement) apply in San Francisco, Los Angeles, Orange, Sacramento and San Diego extended areas.

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED
1986 RATE CHANGES

The following rates are included in the proposals of Pacific Bell. The present rates shown are tariff rates on file with the CPUC. They do not include the federally-imposed access charge or the present surcharges that apply to many services.

The CPUC may grant different rates than those proposed by the Company and may change rates for services not listed below.

SERVICES FOR RESIDENCE CUSTOMERS

| <u>RESIDENCE (NON-LIFELINE)¹</u> | <u>PRESENT TARIFF MONTHLY RATE</u> | <u>PROPOSED MONTHLY RATE</u> |
|---|--|----------------------------------|
| One-Party Measured Local/Zone 1 | \$ 4.45 | \$ 5.00 |
| • Measured Calling Plan | | |
| Monthly Rate Includes | | |
| Calling Allowance of | 3.00 | 2.00 |
| Initial Min. | .04 | .04 |
| Each Addl. Min. | .01 | .01 |
| • Call Allowance Plan | | |
| Monthly Rate for 130 | | |
| Local/Zone 1 Calls | NOT CURRENTLY OFFERED | 4.00 |
| Each Addl. Call Over 131 calls | | .08 Per Call |
| One-Party (Flat) Unlimited Local/Zone 1 | 8.25 | 15.00 |
| Zone Usage Measurement (ZUM) | | |
| Zone 2 - Initial Min. | .08 | .09 |
| Zone 2 - Each Addl. Min. | .03 | .03 |
| Zone 3 - Initial Min. | .10 | .09 |
| Zone 3 - Each Addl. Min. | .05 | .03 |
| Foreign Exchange Access | | |
| One-Party Measured | 8.50 | 9.05 |
| One-Party (Flat) | | |
| Unlimited Local/Zone 1 | 15.00 | 21.75 |

¹In all charts references to Zone 1, 2 and 3 and to ZUM (Zone Usage Measurement) apply in San Francisco, Los Angeles, Orange, Sacramento and San Diego extended areas.

SERVICES FOR RESIDENCE CUSTOMERS (5-Cont.)

| <u>LIFELINE SERVICE²</u> | <u>PRESENT TARIFF MONTHLY RATE</u> | <u>PROPOSED MONTHLY RATE</u> |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| <u>In Areas Where Measured Service is Available:</u> | | |
| Lifeline--Basic Measured Includes 60 Local/Zone 1 Calls Calls Over Allowance | \$1.48 61-70 calls \$.10 per call 71+ calls \$.15 per call | \$1.75 61+ calls \$.08 per call |
| Lifeline--Call Allowance Plan Includes 130 Local/Zone 1 Calls Calls Over Allowance | NOT CURRENTLY OFFERED | \$3.75 71+ calls \$.08 per call |
| Lifeline--Unlimited Local/Zone 1 Calls Call Allowance | NOT CURRENTLY OFFERED | \$9.75 Unlimited local calls |

In Areas Without Measured Service:

| | | |
|---|--------|--------|
| One-Party (Flat) Unlimited Local Usage | \$3.38 | \$6.75 |
|---|--------|--------|

²Rates shown reflect \$.75 credit for telephone set each month.
For Zone 2 and 3 calls, see Residence (Non-Lifeline) chart.

SERVICES FOR BUSINESS CUSTOMERS

| <u>BUSINESS ACCESS LINES</u> | <u>PRESENT TARIFF MONTHLY RATE</u> | <u>PROPOSED MONTHLY RATE</u> |
|---|--|----------------------------------|
| One-Party Measured | \$ 8.25 | \$ 10.75 |
| One-Party Flat ³ | 17.15 | 25.50 |
| Trunk Line--Measured | 8.25 | 16.00 |
| Trunk Line--Flat ³ | 25.65 | 38.00 |
| Semi-Public Coin | 20.00 | 31.00 |
| Customer Owned Pay Telephone (COPT) | | |
| Measured | 17.00 | 22.00 |
| Flat (Average) ⁴ | 33.00 | 44.37 |
| Foreign Exchange Access | | |
| One-Party Measured | 19.50 | 22.00 |
| BUSINESS LOCAL USAGE⁵ | | |
| Initial Min. | .04 | .045 |
| Each Addl. Min. | .01 | .01 |
| SERVICE CONNECTION CHARGES | | |
| Installation Charges | | |
| Initial Line | 70.00 | 100.00 |
| Trunk Line | 70.00 | 175.00 |
| Number Change | 30.00 | 34.00 |
| Reconnect Charge | 40.00 | 45.50 |

³Only available in certain areas; includes unlimited local/Zone 1 usage.

⁴The rates shown for present and proposed rates are averages.
Present rates range from \$27.00 to \$43.00. Proposed rates range from \$32.00 to \$48.00.

⁵For Zone 2 and 3 usage rates, see Residence (Non-Lifeline) chart.

SERVICE CHANGES

Rates for certain other services may also change. For example:

- Rate increases ranging from 11% to 77% are proposed for various private line recurring rates and nonrecurring charges.
- The monthly rate for non-published listings would increase from \$.30 to \$.55. (Pacific Bell has filed an alternate proposal at the request of the CPUC which, if approved, would increase the rate for non-published listings to \$1.00 per month.)
- The rate for Operator Services verification would increase from \$.50 to \$.75 per call.
- Some Direct Inward Dialing, Remote Call Forwarding, WATS and 800 Service rates would increase.
- The present 18 Service Area long distance mileage bands would be reduced to 4 bands, with both increases and decreases in present rates. (If the alternate proposal is approved, the Service Area long distance rates would increase as much as 3¢ per minute more than the present tariff rates.)

NEW SERVICES

There may be new services offered. For example:

A new listing service is being offered for \$.30 per month to allow you to omit your name and phone number from Pacific Bell's White Pages directory, but still have it available to people who call through Directory Assistance 411. (If the alternate proposal is approved, the new listing service rates would increase to \$.50 per month.)

SPECIAL NOTE: CENTREX CUSTOMERS

Pacific Bell has proposed changes for Centrex Service rates.

Under existing Centrex rates, customers who signed up for service after July 1983 pay a higher rate than those customers who purchased service before that time. This difference is due to the federally-imposed customer line charge. Under Pacific Bell's proposed rate structure both pre- and post-July 1983 customers would pay the same amount. The proposed rate structure makes certain features standard--there would be no additional charge for certain features that are now charged for separately. In addition, the proposed rate structure includes certain discounts based on average features per station line or the number of features per system. Under the proposed Centrex rate structure, some rates will increase and some will decrease.

SERVICE CHANGES

Rates for certain other services may also change. For example:

- Rate increases ranging from 11% to 77% are proposed for various private line recurring rates and nonrecurring charges.
- The monthly rate for non-published listings would increase from \$.30 to \$.55. (Pacific Bell has filed an alternate proposal at the request of the CPUC which, if approved, would increase the rate for non-published listings to \$1.00 per month.)
- The rate for Operator Services verification would increase from \$.50 to \$.75 per call.
- Some Direct Inward Dialing, Remote Call Forwarding, WATS and 800 Service rates would increase.
- The present 18 Service Area long distance mileage bands would be reduced to 4 bands, with both increases and decreases in present rates. (If the alternate proposal is approved, the Service Area long distance rates would increase as much as 3¢ per minute more than the present tariff rates.)

NEW SERVICES

There may be new services offered. For example:

A new listing service is being offered for \$.30 per month to allow you to omit your name and phone number from Pacific Bell's White Pages directory, but still have it available to people who call through Directory Assistance 411. (If the alternate proposal is approved, the new listing service rates would increase to \$.50 per month.)

SPECIAL NOTE: CENTREX CUSTOMERS

Pacific Bell has proposed changes for Centrex Service rates.

Under existing Centrex rates, customers who signed up for service after July 1983 pay a higher rate than those customers who purchased service before that time. This difference is due to the federally-imposed customer line charge. Under Pacific Bell's proposed rate structure both pre- and post-July 1983 customers would pay the same amount. The proposed rate structure makes certain features standard--there would be no additional charge for certain features that are now charged for separately. In addition, the proposed rate structure includes certain discounts based on average features per station line or the number of features per system. Under the proposed Centrex rate structure, some rates will increase and some will decrease.

ESTIMATED REVENUE IMPACT*

| | <u>ESTIMATED NET REVENUE INCREASE OR DECREASE - \$MILLIONS</u> | <u>ESTIMATED AVERAGE PERCENT INCREASE OR DECREASE</u> |
|---|--|---|
| Basic Rates | | |
| Business Lines | \$119.9 | 44.0% |
| Residence Lines | 47.7 | 11.8% |
| Semi-Public Lines & Customer Owned Pay Telephone (COPT) | 8.0 | 40.9% |
| Central Office Services | | |
| Direct Inward Dial and Remote Call Forwarding | 6.3 | 25.8% |
| Centrex | 2.5 | 1.9% |
| Foreign Exchange Service | -48.6 | -55.0% |
| Local Usage (Zone 1) | | |
| Residence, Business and Extended Area Service | 248.4 | 41.0% |
| Operator Services | | |
| Verifications | 0.3 | 23.2% |
| Private Line (e.g. Alarm Service) | 26.5 | 22.3% |
| Service Connection Charges | 17.3 | 12.5% |
| Toll Usage | | |
| Service Area long distance, Call Bonus, 800, WATS, Optional Calling Plans | -307.1* | -15.2%* |
| Listing Services | 7.4 | 42.9% |
| Zone Usage Measurement (ZUM) | -31.8 | -12.0% |
| Other | 23.3 | |
| Sub Total | <u>\$120.1</u> | |
| Elimination of 2.48% Billing Surcharge | -\$120.1 | |
| Total Revenue Increase | 0 | |

*SPECIAL NOTE: Under the alternate proposal filed by Pacific Bell at the request of the CPUC, the Estimated Net Revenue impact would change for certain service categories. The toll usage decrease would be -\$71.0M and -3.5% due to increases in certain proposed rates over some present rates. Additionally, increases in Listing Services would be \$14.0M and 80.8% due to increases in listing service rates.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PG&E +

77 BEALE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106
P.O. BOX 7442, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94110

RECEIVED
1986 APR 11 AM 9:05
TELEPHONE (415) 972-4226
TELECOPIER (415) 543-7813

HOWARD V. GOLUB
ATTORNEY AT LAW

ALICE M. REIMCHE
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI

April 7, 1986

TO: THE STATE, COUNTRY AND CITY OFFICIALS
AND INTERESTED PARTIES

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PGandE) has filed Application No. 86-04-012 in which it requests authority from the California Public Utilities Commission, effective August 1, 1986, to decrease electric rates by approximately \$690.5 million on an annualized basis.

The proposal requests authority to (1) decrease electric rates under the Energy Cost Adjustment Clause (ECAC) by approximately \$735.1 million on an annualized basis; (2) increase electric rates by approximately \$74.4 million on an annualized basis under the Electric Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (ERAM); (3) decrease electric rates under the Annual Energy Rate (AER) by approximately \$29.7 million on an annualized basis.

For the 12-month period beginning August 1, 1986, the requested rate adjustments will decrease PGandE's electric revenues by about 12.21 percent below the level generated by electric rates in effect August 4, 1986.

The net proposed rate reduction would provide PGandE's customers with significant rate relief. Therefore, PGandE proposes to provide its electric customers with interim electric rate relief effective May 1, 1986, or such later date as the Commission may order. The proposed interim rate reduction would flow through to customers the net change in the ECAC and ERAM revenue requirements, but would not reflect the AER reduction. The resulting interim rate reduction would decrease rates by approximately \$660.8 million effective May 1, 1986.

In addition, in an order dated March 28, 1986, Commissioner Duda directed that rate design, marginal costs and revenue allocation issues originally in PGandE's General Rate Case Application No. 85-12-050 be transferred to and decided in this ECAC proceeding. Therefore, PGandE's presentation on those issues will be made in these hearings and will include PGandE's Marginal Cost, Rate Proposal, and

April 7, 1986

Page 2

Prepared Testimony previously filed as part of Application No. 85-12-050. The schedule for hearings provides for marginal cost and revenue allocation issues to start April 23, 1986. Parties at hearings may offer proposals to the Commission which differ from those requested by the Utility. After considering all proposals, the Commission will issue a Decision. The actual rates adopted by the Commission may significantly differ from those requested by the Utility, and may result in an increase or decrease in your individual rates.

If you wish to participate formally and need advice or if you wish copies of Public Staff Division's rate proposal, please write to the Public Advisor, California Public Utilities Commission at 350 McAllister Street, San Francisco, California 94102.


The State, the counties, the municipal corporations and other parties interested in the above-mentioned filing will be furnished a copy of Application No. 86-04-012 with related exhibits, upon written request made to PGandE, 77 Beale Street, Post Office Box 7442, San Francisco, California 94120, Attention: Mr. Howard V. Golub.

This Notice is given in accordance with Rule 24 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission.

Very truly yours,

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

BY


HOWARD V. GOLUB

In The Matter Of The Application Of
National Bus Traffic Association, Inc., Agent
Acting For And On Behalf Of
American Buslines, Inc., And
Trailways Lines, Inc., For Authority
To Increase California Intrastate
Passenger Fares Pursuant To Section 454
Of the Public Utilities Code

RECEIVED

1986 JUN -9 AM 8:38

ALICE M. REIMCHE
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI

N O T I C E

You are hereby notified that on June 2nd, 1986,
Trailways Lines, Inc., and American Buslines, Inc., filed
Application with the Public Utilities Commission, State of
California, to increase Passenger Fares by approximately 13%,
which includes the 5% Insurance Surcharge granted by the
Commission in Decision No. 86-04-039, issued April 2nd, 1986.

These increases are requested to offset increased
costs incurred by said Companies.

This Notice is served pursuant to Rule 24 of the
Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public
Utilities Commission. Pursuant to said Rule, a copy of the
Application and related Exhibits will be furnished by
Applicants upon written request therefor addressed to:

Mr. Lawrence W. Harlow,
President & Chairman
National Bus Traffic Association, Inc., Agent
506 South Wabash Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60605

Agent For
American Buslines, Inc., and
Trailways Lines, Inc.

Dated:
June 2nd, 1986

By: L. W. Harlow
Lawrence W. Harlow
President & Chairman

490

RECEIVED

1986 JUN -5 AM 9:17

ALICE M. REIMCHE
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| <p>RECEIVED 5002 5002</p> | <p>RECEIVED</p> | <p>RECEIVED 1986 JUN -5 AM 9:17</p> |
| <p>RECEIVED</p> | <p>RECEIVED</p> | <p>ALICE M. REIMCHE CITY CLERK CITY OF LODI</p> |
| <p>RECEIVED</p> | <p>RECEIVED</p> | <p>RECEIVED</p> |

COPY

Do not detach—Return all copies

Do Not Write Below This Line—For Headquarters Office Only

APPLICATION FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE(S)

To: Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
1901 Broadway
Sacramento, Calif. 95818 Stockton
(DISTRICT SERVING LOCATION)

The undersigned hereby applies for licenses described as follows:

1. TYPE(S) OF LICENSE(S)

On Sale General
Eating Place
and Caterers Permit

FILE NO.

RECEIPT NO.

31150
**GEOGRAPHICAL
CODE 3902**

Date Issued

Temp. Permit

46236

Effective Date: 6-9-86

2. NAME(S) OF APPLICANT(S)

NORTON, Jimmy Wayne

Applied under Sec. 24044
Effective Date: Issuance

3. TYPE(S) OF TRANSACTION(S)

FEE

LIC. TYPE

Per to Per

\$ 1,250.00

47

Caterer's Permit

N/E

4. Name of Business

El Rancho Bar & Grill

5. Location of Business—Number and Street

62' N. Cherokee

City and Zip Code
Lodi, 95240

County
San Joaquin

TOTAL \$ 1,250.00

6. If Premises Licensed,
Show Type of License 47 & 58

**7. Are Premises Inside
City Limits?** Yes

8. Mailing Address (if different from 5)—Number and Street

505 Pioneer Drive #9, Lodi, CA 95240

(Temp) (Perm)

Perm

9. Have you ever been convicted of a felony?

No ywn

10. Have you ever violated any of the provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act or regulations of the Department pertaining to the Act?

No ywn

11. Explain a "YES" answer to items 9 or 10 on an attachment which shall be deemed part of this application:

12. Applicant agrees (a) that any manager employed in on-sale licensed premises will have all the qualifications of a licensee, and (b) that he will not violate or cause or permit to be violated any of the provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act.

13. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of San Joaquin Date 5-30-86

Under penalty of perjury, each person whose signature appears below, certifies and says: (1) He is the applicant, or one of the applicants, or an executive officer of the applicant corporation, named in the foregoing application, duly authorized to make this application on its behalf; (2) that he has read the foregoing application and knows the contents thereof and that each and all of the statements therein made are true; (3) that no person other than the applicant or applicants has any direct or indirect interest in the applicant's or applicants' business to be conducted under the license(s) for which this application is made; (4) that the transfer application or proposed transfer is not made to satisfy the payment of a loan or to fulfill an agreement entered into more than ninety (90) days preceding the day on which the transfer application is filed with the Department or to gain or establish a preference to or for an creditor of transferor or to defraud or injure any creditor of transferor; (5) that the transfer application may be withdrawn by either the applicant or the licensee with no resulting liability to the Department.

**14. APPLICANT
SIGN HERE**

Jimmy Wayne Norton

APPLICATION BY TRANSFEROR

15. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of San Joaquin Date 5-30-86

Under penalty of perjury, each person whose signature appears below, certifies and says: (1) He is the licensee, or an executive officer of the car sale licensee, named in the foregoing transfer application, duly authorized to make this transfer application on its behalf; (2) that he hereby makes application to surrender all interest in the attached license(s) described below and to transfer same to the applicant and or location indicated on the upper portion of this application form, if such transfer is approved by the Director; (3) that the transfer application or proposed transfer is not made to satisfy the payment of a loan or to fulfill an agreement entered into more than ninety days preceding the day on which the transfer application is filed with the Department or to gain or establish a preference to or for any creditor of transferor or to defraud or injure any creditor of transferor; (4) that the transfer application may be withdrawn by either the applicant or the licensee with no resulting liability to the Department.

16. Name(s) of Licensee(s)

17. Signature(s) of Licensee(s)

18. License Number(s)

Robert F. Kulp

Robert F. Kulp

47 & 58-153609

Jeannette L. Kulp

Jeannette L. Kulp

" " "

19. Location

Number and Street

City and Zip Code

County

Same Location

Do Not Write Below This Line; For Department Use Only

Attached: Recorded notice,
 Fiduciary papers,
 280

COPIES MAILED

5-30-86

Renewal Fee of _____ Paid at _____

Office on _____

Receipt No. _____

COPY

Do not detach—Return all copies

Do Not Write Above This Line—For Headquarters Office Only

APPLICATION FOR ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE(S)

To: Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control
1901 Broadway
Sacramento, Calif. 95818 Stockton
(DISTRICT SERVING LOCATION)

The undersigned hereby applies for licenses described as follows.

1. TYPE(S) OF LICENSE(S)

OFF SALE BEER AND WINE

RECEIVED
1996 JUN 16 AM

ALICE M. REINHOLD
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI

Applied under Sec. 24011
Effective Date: ISSUANCE

FILE NO.

RECEIPT NO.

GEOGRAPHICAL CODE 3902

Date Issued

Temp. Permit

Effective Date:

2. NAME(S) OF APPLICANT(S)

ADKINS, Ethelvn L./Melvin H.

3. TYPE(S) OF TRANSACTION(S)

ORIGINAL

Annual Fee

FEE LIC. TYPE

\$ 100.00 20

28.00

TOTAL \$ 128.00

4. Name of Business

Mel's Pine Wines

5. Location of Business—Number and Street

8 North Main Street

City and Zip Code
Lodi 95340

County
San Joaquin

6. If Premises Licensed, Show Type of License

7. Are Premises Inside City Limits? Yes

8. Mailing Address (if different from 5)—Number and Street

Same

Perm

9. Have you ever been convicted of a felony?

No

10. Have you ever violated any of the provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act or regulations of the Department pertaining to the Act?

No

11. Explain a "YES" answer to items 9 or 10 on an attachment which shall be deemed part of this application.

12. Applicant agrees (a) that any manager employed in on-sale licensed premises will have all the qualifications of a licensee, and (b) that he will not violate or cause or permit to be violated any of the provisions of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act.

13. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of San Joaquin Date 6-13-86

Under penalty of perjury, each person whose signature appears below, certifies and says: (1) He is the applicant, or one of the applicants, or an executive officer of the applicant corporation, named in the foregoing application, duly authorized to make this application on its behalf; (2) that he has read the foregoing application and knows the contents thereof and that each and all of the statements therein made are true; (3) that no person other than the applicant or applicants has any direct or indirect interest in the applicant's or applicants' business to be conducted under the license(s) for which this application is made; (4) that the transfer application or proposed transfer is not made to satisfy the payment of a loan or to fulfill an agreement entered into more than ninety (90) days preceding the day on which the transfer application is filed with the Department or to gain or establish a preference to or for any creditor of transferor or to defraud or injure any creditor of transferor; (5) that the transfer application may be withdrawn by either the applicant or the licensee with no resulting liability to the Department.

14. APPLICANT SIGN HERE

APPLICATION BY TRANSFEROR

15. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of _____ Date _____

Under penalty of perjury, each person whose signature appears below, certifies and says: (1) He is the licensee, or an executive officer of the corporate licensee, named in the foregoing transfer application, duly authorized to make this transfer application on its behalf; (2) that he hereby makes application to transfer all interest in the attached license(s) described below, and to transfer same to the applicant and/or location indicated on the upper portion of this application form, if such transfer is approved by the Director; (3) that the transfer application or proposed transfer is not made to satisfy the payment of a loan or to fulfill an agreement entered into more than ninety days preceding the day on which the transfer application is filed with the Department or to gain or establish a preference to or for any creditor of transferor or to defraud or injure any creditor of transferor; (4) that the transfer application may be withdrawn by either the applicant or the licensee with no resulting liability to the Department.

16. Name(s) of Licensee(s)

17. Signature(s) of Licensee(s)

18. License Number(s)

19. Location

Number and Street

City and Zip Code

County

Do Not Write Below This Line; For Department Use Only

Attached: Recorded notice.
 Fiduciary papers.

COPIES MAILED 6-13-86

Renewal: Fee of _____ Paid at _____ Office on _____ Receipt No. _____

85 93451