

Agg 26011

CC-28

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

August 4, 1982

PROPOSAL OF
ASSEMBLYMAN STER-
LING RE STATE
BUDGET

Council Member Snider introduced the subject regarding the question being raised by Assemblyman Larry Stirling about moving the deadline by which the State must adopt its own budget. Following Council discussion, City Manager Graves agreed to call the League of California Cities to receive additional information concerning the subject.

Handwritten mark

IMPORTANT MESSAGE

FOR Mr. Glane
DATE Wed TIME 8:50 A.M.
P.M.

WHILE YOU WERE OUT

BY Ken Emanuel
OF League of Cal Cities

PHONE No. _____
Area Code _____ Number _____ Extension _____

TELEPHONED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PLEASE CALL	<input type="checkbox"/>
CALLED TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	WILL CALL AGAIN	<input type="checkbox"/>
WANTS TO SEE YOU	<input type="checkbox"/>	URGENT	<input type="checkbox"/>
RETURNED YOUR CALL		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

MESSAGE Re proposal by
Assemblymen Sterling.
Please not supporting it.
Change proposed would
make all various facilities
SIGNED to be remain dependent

on state funds (over)

be more objective - more
fairful to legislature
not less fairful.

You can call &
talk to Mike Padden
on this!



League of California Cities

RECEIVED

1982 AUG 18 AM 8 52

ALICE M. REINICHE

CITY CLERK

August 17, 1982
Sacramento, CA 95814

CITY OF LODI

Assemblyman Larry Stirling
State Capitol, Room 5135
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Larry:

By now you have undoubtedly received a number of comments from city officials as well as other local government officials with respect to your question about moving the deadline by which the State must adopt its own budget. While the League has not reviewed this particular question with our Policy Committee or our Board of Directors, I thought for your own interest you might like to know what our general view of such a change would be.

Basically, we have not in the past been interested in making the budget adoption process at the State any easier from the standpoint of local government subventions. Basically, we are interested in removing our dependency upon the State as it was before the adoption of Proposition 13. To the extent that "confusion and uncertainty" prevails around the middle of June as local governments await the State's final decisions on the Budget, we welcome this situation because it helps us impress upon legislators the need for a long term local government financing system where we do not have to return every year to ask for money in the State Budget. Simply put, we are afraid that if it becomes easier to deal with local government subventions on an annual basis, then the system may become permanent and our objective of a long-term solution without annual changes would be more difficult to achieve. One final thought, the Supreme Court in deciding upon San Francisco v. Parrett may have accomplished much of this already on our behalf. Of course we'd be delighted to discuss this with you at greater length at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Kenneth J. Emanuelis
Legislative Director

cc: City Manager, City of Lodi
Mayor, City of El Cerrito

By changing
making it seem to state to adopt
budget without having occurring from cities.

Not necessary in cities but interest
might seem as disingenuous for Rep. to
adopt Gov. and accept by cities.

happy thinking

Assembly

California Legislature

RECEIVED

1982 JUL 26 AM 9:20

LARRY STIRLING
ASSEMBLYMAN, SEVENTY-SEVENTH DISTRICT

ALICE M. REIMCHE
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI

July 20, 1982

Councilmembers
City of Lodi
City Hall
221 West Pine Street
Lodi, California 95240

Dear Councilmembers:

I am currently examining alternative proposals to amend Article IV, Section 12 (c) of the State Constitution, which stipulates that the Legislature must finalize the budget by June 15 of each year. The problem with the current law is that cities and counties which are dependent upon State aid for providing basic community services, must finalize their own budget before they know how much money they are slated to receive from the State. The confusion and uncertainty stemming from this situation could be alleviated by having the Legislature finalize the State budget before the city and county governments are required to do so.

I would appreciate any assistance you might be able to provide regarding such a change in budget proceedings. Specifically, I would appreciate knowing the date by which you would like to see the State budget finished, including your reasons for that specific date; the positive and negative aspects associated with current law; the expected fiscal impact of the proposed change; the ways in which the new proposal might alleviate old problems; the ways in which it might create new problems, particularly in the transition year.

I am withholding judgment on any specific proposal until I receive recommendations from the affected parties. I would greatly appreciate any additional suggestions you have to offer.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

LARRY STIRLING

LS:rch

STATE CAPITOL
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
PHONE (916) 445-6161

7051 ALVARADO RD. SUITE C
LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92041
PHONE (714) 461-5588

- STATE CAPITOL
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95833
PHONE (916) 445-6001

- 7051 ALVARADO RD. SUITE C
LA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92041
PHONE (714) 361-5500

Assembly
California Legislature

RECEIVED

1982 JUL 26 AM 9:20

LARRY STIRLING
ASSEMBLYMAN, SEVENTY-SEVENTH DISTRICT

ALICE M. REINICHE
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI

July 20, 1982

Councilmembers
City of Lodi
City Hall
221 West Pine Street
Lodi, California 95240

Dear Councilmembers:

I am currently examining alternative proposals to amend Article IV, Section 12 (c) of the State Constitution, which stipulates that the Legislature must finalize the budget by June 15 of each year. The problem with the current law is that cities and counties which are dependent upon State aid for providing basic community services, must finalize their own budget before they know how much money they are slated to receive from the State. The confusion and uncertainty stemming from this situation could be alleviated by having the Legislature finalize the State budget before the city and county governments are required to do so.

I would appreciate any assistance you might be able to provide regarding such a change in budget proceedings. Specifically, I would appreciate knowing the date by which you would like to see the State budget finished, including your reasons for that specific date; the positive and negative aspects associated with current law; the expected fiscal impact of the proposed change; the ways in which the new proposal might alleviate old problems; the ways in which it might create new problems, particularly in the transition year.

I am withholding judgment on any specific proposal until I receive recommendations from the affected parties. I would greatly appreciate any additional suggestions you have to offer.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,


LARRY STIRLING

LS:rch