

AUGUST 17, 1983

Council was apprised that this year, COG has changed the TDA claim form to include both the LTF and STA claims in one document. The City is claiming all of its STA apportionment, which will be used for our transit system, and all of the LTF apportionment which will be used for streets and roads, as well as approximately \$66,000, for the Dial-a-ride system. This year the transit system will be using an additional \$22,000 of the LTF apportionment. In past years, only \$44,000 of LTF was used for Dial-a-ride.

The LTF portion of the claim includes all of the projects which are being considered for construction during the next fiscal year. This action is not approving projects for construction; it just allows us the flexibility to use TDA funds on the projects if they are included in the City's 1984 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This year, the City's pedestrian/bicycle apportionment (\$9,918) will be used in conjunction with the Ham Lane Improvement Project,

Lodi Avenue to Elm Street. Ham Lane is included as a primary bicycle route in the San Joaquin County Bicycle plan, and this project meets the intent of that apportionment.

1983-84  
TRANSPORTATION  
DEVELOPMENT ACT  
CLAIM

RES. NO. 83-89

COUNCIL ADOPTED RESOLUTION NO. 83-89 APPROVING THE CITY OF LODI'S 1983-84 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND (LTF) AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE (STA) AND AUTHORIZED THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE SAME ON BEHALF OF THE CITY.



# CITY OF LODI

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

216

## COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

**TO:** City Council  
**FROM:** City Manager  
**DATE:** August 11, 1983  
**SUBJECT:** 1983-84 Transportation Development Act Claim

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** That the City Council adopt a resolution approving the City of Lodi's 1983-84 Transportation Development Act Claim for Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and State Transit Assistance (STA) and authorize the City Manager to sign on behalf of the City.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION:** This year, COG has changed the TDA claim form to include both the LTF and STA claims in one document. We are claiming all of our STA apportionment, which will be used for our transit system, and all of the LTF apportionment which will be used for streets and roads, as well as approximately \$66,000, for the Dial-a-ride system. This year the transit system will be using an additional \$22,000 of the LTF apportionment. In past years, only \$44,000 of LTF was used for Dial-a-ride.

The LTF portion of the claim includes all of the projects which are being considered for construction during the next fiscal year. Those projects are shown on the attached list. This action is not approving projects for construction; it just allows us the flexibility to use TDA funds on the projects if they are included in the City's 1984 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). This year, our pedestrian/bicycle apportionment (\$9,918) will be used in conjunction with the Ham Lane Improvement Project, Lodi Avenue to Elm Street. Ham Lane is included as a primary bicycle route in the San Joaquin County Bicycle Plan, and this project meets the intent of that apportionment.

The TDA funds will be used in conjunction with other City funds for the Transportation Improvement Program and maintenance of our streets.

Jack L. Ronsko  
Public Works Director

Jerry Glenn  
Assistant City Manager

JLR:SB:dmw

Attachment

APPROVED:

HENRY A. GLAVES, City Manager

FILE NO.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS



**I. Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment**

A. Area Apportionment (83-84)	\$ 476,064
B. Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	9,918
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	5,992
D. Unexpended Carryover	1,196,132
<b>Total Available for 1983-84 Claim</b>	<b>\$ 1,688,106</b>

**II. State Transit Assistance Fund Available Apportionment**

A. Area Apportionment (83-84)	\$ 76,943
B. Special Transit Apportionment (83-84)	1,206
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	--
D. Unexpended Carryover	8,282
<b>Total Available for 1983-84 Claim</b>	<b>\$ 86,431</b>

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS



I. Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment (83-84)	\$ 476,064
B. Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	9,918
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	5,992
D. Unexpended Carryover	1,196,132
Total Available for 1983-84 Claim	\$ 1,688,106

II. State Transit Assistance Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment (83-84)	\$ 76,943
B. Special Transit Apportionment (83-84)	1,206
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	--
D. Unexpended Carryover	8,282
Total Available for 1983-84 Claim	\$ 86,431

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

<u>Claim Purpose</u>	I. LTF	II. STA*
I. Public Transportation		
Article 4 (99260) - Operator	65,519	86,431
Article 8 (99400(c)) - Contractor		
II. Pedestrian and Bicycle		
Article 3 (99234)		
Article 8 (99400(a))	9,918	
III. Roads and Streets		
Article 8 (99400(a))	1,612,669	
IV. Other		
Article 8 (99400(b))		
<b>Total Claimed</b>	<b>1,688,106</b>	<b>86,431</b>

Total Available Apportionment	1,688,106	86,431
Total Claimed	- 1,688,106	86,431
Unclaimed Apportionment (1983-84)	- 0	0

\*This will automatically be classified as Article 6.5 (99313.3) for purposes of the Act.

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

214

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost	
		Total	Cost
** Ham Lane (R/W construction)	Lodi-Elm	\$	165,000
Ham Lane Median	Century-Kettleman		54,000
* Stockton Street	Kettleman-Lodi		50,000
R/W Acquisition			245,000
Utility Relocation	* Vine-Tokay		29,000
Hutchins Street	* Kettleman-Rimby (C&R/W)		39,000
	Tokay-Lodi		6,000
	R/W Acquisition		220,000
* Hutchins Street Median	Construction		10,000
* Turner Road	Harney-Vineyard		57,000
Downtown Improvement District	1000' W/Cluff-Cluff		
Pine-School Signal Modification,	Downtown Area		100,000
Sacramento Street CG&S, Inspection			
and other miscellaneous related			
work.			95,000
Railroad Grade Crossing Approach			
Improvements (SPRR)			
Ham Lane \$ 6,000			
Tokay Street 12,000			
Lodi Avenue 14,000			
Pine Street 22,000			
Elm Street 12,000			
Locust Street 16,000			
Lockeford Street 13,000			
Lower Sacramento Road Widening	Elm Street North		70,000
Street Maintenance			52,000
Administration			5,000
* Work-in-Progress			
** Primary Bicycle Route			

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost	
		Total	Cost

- 19 (Use Additional Page if Necessary)

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

EIG

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost
		Total Cost
Miscellaneous Widening		\$ 50,000
*Miscellaneous Overlay		80,000
*Miscellaneous Curb & Gutter		71,000
Miscellaneous Subdivision Paving		15,000
Miscellaneous Traffic Appurtenance Upgrade		10,000
Traffic Signals		
* °Pine and Cherokee (upgrade)		90,000
* °Lockeford and Cherokee (new)		110,000
* °Victor and Cherokee (upgrade)		90,000
°Ham and Lockeford (new)		80,000
°Lodi Avenue Signal System Modification		10,000
°Church Street Signal System Modification		10,000
* °Pine and Sacramento		70,000
°Other Miscellaneous New Signal		80,000
Railroad Grade Crossing Protection		
°Turner Road @ Mills		14,500
°Loma Drive		8,000
°California Street		9,200
°Cherokee Lane		9,000
°Washington Street		7,000
Calaveras Street	Lockeford - 200' S/Murray	30,000
* Work-in-progress		\$1,622,587
** Primary bicycle route	Total	\$2,040,700

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost
		Total Cost

Fu 8/17

RESOLUTION NO. 83-89

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE CITY OF LODI'S  
1983-1984 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT  
CLAIM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND (LTF)  
AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE (STA)

RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi  
does hereby approve the City of Lodi's 1983-84  
Transportation Development Act Claim for Local  
Transportation Fund (LTF) and State Transit Assistance  
(STA), a copy of which is attached hereto, marked Exhibit  
A, and thereby made a part hereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the  
City of Lodi does hereby direct the City Manager to  
execute the subject document on behalf of the City.

Dated: August 17, 1983

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 83-89  
was passed and adopted by the City Council  
of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting  
held August 17, 1983 by the following vote:

- Ayes: Council Members - Reid, Snider, Murphy,  
Pinkerton & Olson (Mayor)
- Noes: Council Members - None
- Absent: Council Members - None

*Alice M. Reimche*  
Alice M. Reimche  
City Clerk

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO: San Joaquin County Council of Governments  
1860 East Hazelton Avenue  
Stockton, CA 95205

FROM: Applicant: City of Lodi

Address: P.O. Box 320, Lodi, CA 95241  
(City, Zip)

Contact Person: Jack L. Ronsko Phone: 334-5634

The City of Lodi hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 and applicable rules and regulations, that its annual transportation claim be approved in the amount of \$ 491,974 for fiscal year 1983-84, to be drawn from the local transportation fund.

When approved, please transmit this claim to the County Auditor for payment. Approval of the claim and payment by the County Auditor to this applicant is subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved annual financial plan.

The claimant certifies that this Local Transportation Fund claim and the financial information contained therein, is reasonable and accurate to the best of my knowledge, and that the aforementioned information indicates the eligibility of this claimant for funds for the fiscal year of the application pursuant to CAC Section 6634 and 6734.

APPROVED:  
San Joaquin County Council of Governments  
By PETER D. VERDOORN  
Title Executive Director  
Date \_\_\_\_\_ 19 \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant City of Lodi  
By [Signature]  
Title City Manager  
Date 8/17 19 83

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO: San Joaquin County Council of Governments  
1860 East Hazelton Avenue  
Stockton, CA 95205

FROM: Applicant: City of Lodi  
Address (City, Zip): P.O. Box 320, Lodi, CA 95241  
Contact Person, Phone: Jerry Glenn

This claimant, qualified pursuant to Section 99203 and 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes of 1971 as amended, and applicable rules and regulations, that an allocation be made in the amount of \$ 78,149 for fiscal year 1983-84 to be drawn from the State Transit Assistance trust fund of San Joaquin County for the following purposes and in the following respective amounts:

Purposes	Amounts
Dial-a-ride	\$ 78,149

Allocation instruction and payment by the County Auditor to this claimant are subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved claim.

The claimant certifies that this Local Transportation Fund Claim and the financial information contained herein, is reasonable and accurate to the best of my knowledge, and that the aforementioned information indicates the eligibility of this claimant for funds for the fiscal year of the application pursuant to CAC Section 6634 and 6734.

APPROVED:

San Joaquin County Council of Governments

By PETER D. VERDOORN

Title Executive Director

Date 19

Applicant City of Lodi

By [Signature]

Title City Manager

Date 19

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

I. Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment (83-84)	\$ 476,064
B. Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	9,918
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	5,992
D. Unexpended Carryover	1,196,132
Total Available for 1983-84 Claim	\$ 1,688,106

II. State Transit Assistance Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment (83-84)	\$ 76,943
B. Special Transit Apportionment (83-84)	1,206
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	--
D. Unexpended Carryover	8,282
Total Available for 1983-84 Claim	\$ 86,431

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

<u>Claim Purpose</u>	I. LTF	II. STA*
I. Public Transportation		
Article 4 (99260) - Operator	65,519	86,431
Article 8 (99400(c)) - Contractor		
II. Pedestrian and Bicycle		
Article 3 (99234)		
Article 8 (99400(a))	9,918	
III. Roads and Streets		
Article 8 (99400(a))	1,612,669	
IV. Other		
Article 8 (99400(b))		
<b>Total Claimed</b>	<b>1,688,106</b>	<b>86,431</b>

<b>Total Available Apportionment</b>	<b>1,688,106</b>	<b>86,431</b>
<b>Total Claimed</b>	<b>1,688,106</b>	<b>86,431</b>
<b>Unclaimed Apportionment (1983-84)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

\*This will automatically be classified as Article 6.5 (99313.3) for purposes of the Act.

Please Check One:

Article 4 Operator

Article 8 Contractor

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

I. OPERATING REVENUE	1982-83	1983-84
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Actual/Estimate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget
401 Passenger Fares	<u>30,518</u>	<u>30,400</u>
402 Special Transit Fares	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
403 School Bus Service Revenues	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
404 Freight Tariffs	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
405 Charter Service Revenues (Woodbridge)	<u>1,892</u>	<u>3,850</u>
406 Auxilliary Transportation Revenues	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
407 Non-Transportation Revenues Interest	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
408 Taxes Levied Directly by Transit System (Specify)	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
409 Local Cash Grants and Reimbursements (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF)	<u>44,000</u>	<u>65,519</u>
410 Local Special Fare Assistance	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
411 State Cash Grants and Reimbursements (Specify) State Transit Assist. Fund (STA)	<u>109,465</u>	<u>86,431</u>
412 State Special Fare Assistance	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
413 Federal Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) UMTA Grants	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
430 Contributed Services	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
440 (Specify)	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<u><u>185,875</u></u>	<u><u>186,200</u></u>

II. CAPITAL REVENUE

464 Federal Capital Grants & Subsidies (Specify)	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
State Capital Grants & Subventions (Specify) State Transit Assist. Funds (STA)	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Local Capital Provisions (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF)	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Non-Governmental Donations	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<u><u>          </u></u>	<u><u>          </u></u>

THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

	1984-85	1985-86	1986-87
<b>Operating Expenses</b>	\$ 186,200	\$ 195,510	\$ 205,285
<b>Operating Revenues</b>			
Sources: <u>LTF</u>	\$ 61,950	\$ 69,510	\$ 88,285
<u>STA</u>	90,000	90,000	79,000
<u>Federal</u>			
<u>Fares</u>	34,250	36,000	38,000
<u>General Fund</u>			
<u>Other</u>			
<b>Total</b>	\$ 186,200	\$ 195,510	\$ 205,285

<b>Capital Expenses</b>	\$	\$	\$ 11,000
<b>Capital Revenue</b>			
Sources: <u>LTF</u>	\$	\$	\$
<u>STA</u>			11,000
<u>Federal</u>			
<u>Other</u>			
<b>Total</b>	\$	\$	\$ 11,000

FLEET INVENTORY

Make & Model	Production Year	# of Veh.	Fuel Type	Seat Capacity	Special Features			
					AC	EP	WC	Other
Chevrolet Station Wagon	1982	6	diesel	6	x			
<b>TOTAL</b>	XXXXXXXXXX	6	XXXXXX	36	6			
<b>Vehicles to be Purchased in FY 86-87</b>								
Station wagon		1		6	x			

AC = Air Conditioned  
 EP = Environmental Package  
 WC = Wheel Chair Lift

Article 4 Operator TDA Requirements

1. Fare Ratio Requirement

- A. For an operator serving a non-urbanized area and providing exclusive service to the elderly and handicapped, the ratio of fare revenues to operating cost (minus depreciation) must equal at least 10%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.
- B. For an operator serving an urbanized area, the ratio of fare revenues to operating cost (minus depreciation) must equal at least 20%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.

- i. What is this system's required farebox recovery ratio? 10%
- ii. Does the attached budget demonstrate that the system will meet its required farebox recovery ratio? Yes
- iii. Has the system utilized its grace year? no
- iv. Has this system been in non-compliance with its required farebox recovery ratio? no  
If yes, identify the year or years:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

2. Local Support Ratio - Same as above

- A. For an operator serving a non-urbanized area, and/or providing exclusive service to the elderly and handicapped, the ratio of fare revenue plus local support (local taxes, general fund, etc. 6611.3) to operating cost, (minus depreciation) must equal at least 10%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.
  - B. For an operator serving an urbanized area, the ratio of fare revenues plus local support to operating cost must equal at least 20%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.
- i. What is this system's required local support ratio?  
7%
  - ii. Does the attached budget demonstrate that the system will meet this required local support ratio? \_\_\_\_\_

iii. Has this system utilized its grace year? \_\_\_\_\_

iv. Has this system been in non-compliance with its required local support ratio? \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, Identify the year or years: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**3. Extension of Service**

An extension or new service is exempt from the required farebox and local support ratios if:

A. The extension of service has been in operation for less than two years at the end of the fiscal year.

B. The claimant's operating cost for the fiscal year, after excluding the operating cost of the extension of service, exceeds its operating cost for the prior fiscal year.

C. The claimant submits a report on the extension of service to COG. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(c)).

i. Is this a new service? \_\_\_\_\_

ii. Is there an extension of service being claimed? \_\_\_\_\_

If so, please identify the extension of service:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**4. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase**

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be attached. See attached.

**5. Narrative Description**

Describe any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

## Article 8 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin County Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do and established a two-step process:

### 1. Match Requirement

To receive the same amount of TDA funds (LTF and STA combined) that a service received in the previous year, no more than 90% of the operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the community as long as it is not TDA.

### 2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

To receive an amount of TDA funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish a specific service objective for the fiscal year of the claim. This specific objective would be the operating cost per passenger for the fiscal year of the claim. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The Transportation Planning Policy Committee will adopt the operating cost per passenger figure that a claimant must meet in the fiscal year of the claim.

If the system failed to meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the claim, then it would only be eligible to file a claim for the level of TDA funding received in that fiscal year. If a system wishes to be eligible for increased TDA funding in a future fiscal year, then it should identify an operating cost per passenger objective.

- i. What was the level of TDA funding received in the previous fiscal year for this system (LTF and STA) \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- ii. Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds? \_\_\_\_\_
- iii. Is this claim requesting more TDA funds than were received in the previous fiscal year? \_\_\_\_\_  
If yes, how much more? \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- iv. What was last year's Operating Cost per Passenger Objective? \_\_\_\_\_ What was the actual Operating Cost per Passenger? \_\_\_\_\_

Operating Cost (minus depreciation) \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Total Passengers \_\_\_\_\_  
Operating Cost per Passenger \$ \_\_\_\_\_

v. What is the Operating Cost per Passenger Objective for this claim?

Budgeted Operating Cost  
(minus depreciation) \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Estimated Total Passengers \_\_\_\_\_  
Operating Cost per Passenger \_\_\_\_\_

3. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be attached.

4. Narrative Description

Describe any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND <sup>(JE)</sup> BICYCLE PROJECTS

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost <u>Total Cost</u>

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost <u>Total Cost</u>

**PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS**

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

**LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND**

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	<u>LTF Cost</u> <u>Total Cost</u>
** Ham Lane (R/W construction)	Lodi-Elm	\$ 165,000
Ham Lane Median	Century-Kettleman	54,000
* Stockton Street	Kettleman-Lodi	50,000
R/W Acquisition		245,000
Utility Relocation	* Vine-Tokay	129,000
Hutchins Street	* Kettleman-Rimby (C&R/W)	55,000
	Tokay-Lodi	6,000
	R/W Acquisition	220,000
	Construction	10,000
* Hutchins Street Median	Harney-Vineyard	57,000
* Turner Road	1000' W/Cluff-Cluff	
Downtown Improvement District	Downtown Area	100,000
Pine-School Signal Modification,		
Sacramento Street CG&S, Inspection		
and other miscellaneous related		
work.		95,000
Railroad Grade Crossing Approach		
Improvements (SPRR)		
Ham Lane \$ 6,000		
Tokay Street 12,000		
Lodi Avenue 14,000		
Pine Street 22,000		
Elm Street 12,000		
Locust Street 16,000		
Lockeford Street 13,000		
Lower Sacramento Road Widening	Elm Street North	70,000
Street Maintenance		52,000
Administration		5,000
* Work-in-Progress		
** Primary Bicycle Route		

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

**STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND**

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	<u>STA Cost</u> <u>Total Cost</u>

PART IV - OTHER PURPOSES

There is the possibility that a claimant may wish to expend TDA funds for purposes allowed within the Act, but not covered by the three previous parts. For instance, TDA funds may be claimed to subsidize Amtrak service in a community. To complete this section, please identify the project, the purpose of the project, the estimated cost, and the fund from which money is being claimed. It is advisable to communicate with COG staff before completing this section.

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost Total Cost
Miscellaneous Widening		\$ 50,000
*Miscellaneous Overlay		80,000
*Miscellaneous Curb & Gutter		71,000
Miscellaneous Subdivision Paving		15,000
Miscellaneous Traffic Appurtenance Upgrade		10,000
Traffic Signals		
* °Pine and Cherokee (upgrade)		90,000
* °Lockeford and Cherokee (new)		110,000
* °Victor and Cherokee (upgrade)		90,000
°Ham and Lockeford (new)		80,000
°Lodi Avenue Signal System Modification		10,000
°Church Street Signal System Modification		10,000
* °Pine and Sacramento		70,000
°Other Miscellaneous New Signal		80,000
Railroad Grade Crossing Protection		
°Turner Road @ Mills		14,500
°Loma Drive		8,000
°California Street		9,200
°Cherokee Lane		9,000
°Washington Street		7,000
Calaveras Street	Lockeford - 200' S/Murray	30,000
Curb, Gutter & Paving @ Post Office	School & Walnut	31,000
* Work-in-progress		<u>\$1,622,587</u>
** Primary bicycle route	Total	<u>\$2,187,700</u>

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost Total Cost

19.1 (Use Additional Page if Necessary)

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS  
PROPOSED BUDGETS  
FISCAL YEAR 1983-84

FUNCTION

The San Joaquin County Council of Governments, a voluntary association of general purpose governments, was organized in 1968 with the purpose of providing a mechanism whereby areawide problems (countywide) could be resolved.

Within the Joint Powers Agreement, under which the COG was formed, and subsequent federal legislation, its basic functions include the following:

- . Provide review of federally assisted grant proposals
- . Develop regional plans and policies for urban growth and development
- . Facilitate agreements among governmental units for specific projects
- . Ensure maximum economy in governmental operations through cooperation and the pooling of common resources
- . Provide a forum for discussion and study of areawide problems of mutual concern
- . Identify and plan for the solution of areawide problems

Official designations which the COG has received include the Areawide Planning Agency by HUD, the Comprehensive Regional Transportation Planning Agency by the Federal Highway Administration, the Metropolitan Clearinghouse by the Federal Office of Management and Budget, and Airport Land Use Commission by the local governments within the County and the State of California.

ORGANIZATION

In order to carry out its varied functions, the COG has always maintained two specific divisions in the organization. These include (1) (COG) Comprehensive Regional Planning, and (2) Transportation Planning. Separate budgets were maintained for the two sections because of the sources of funding and the different requirements of the funding agencies.

The COG's Regional Planning Budget was contained in one account within the County General Fund (01-25801). However, due to the numerous grants received for Transportation Planning purposes and the audit requirements incumbent upon them, the Transportation budget was separated from the General Fund in 1976 and a separate Transportation Fund (025) was created. With COG being established as a separate entity, it is now combining both budgets into one.

The Council of Governments' staff consists of nine full time employees; an Executive Director, a Transportation Planner, two Planner II's, two Planner I's, a Clerk Steno II, a Clerk Steno I and an Account Technician II. One Planner II, two Planner I's, the Account Technician II and the Clerk Steno I, all of whom worked in the Transportation Division division, are contract employees and would be terminated at such time as the level of federal funding could no longer justify their continued employment.

With an exceptionally heavy workload, as a result of new mandates by Federal and State governments, the COG contracts with or shares some of the work elements with either consultants, Caltrans, or the County.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE AND COMPREHENSIVE REGIONAL PLANNING

The COG's Comprehensive Regional Planning division was responsible for all plans and programs other than transportation. They also shared the intergovernmental coordination, public information, technical assistance, and grant review functions with the Transportation division. One of the principle objectives of the COG is to assure the San Joaquin County regions continued eligibility for receiving federal financial assistance.

During the 1983/84 fiscal year, the Regional Planning Division will be continuing the Clearinghouse Activities and operation of the Airport Land Use Commission. Funding for the Airport Land Use Commission activities, as mandated by State law, calls for the County to cover such costs.

#### TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

The transportation planning being carried out, in conjunction with local, state and federal governments, is directed toward the preparation of the Countywide Transportation Plan and other documents required by federal and state regulations. The planning process is a cooperative process and COG staff is assisted by the staffs and representatives of local governments, special transportation agencies, the California Department of Transportation, and by local elected and appointed officials and members of the community. Elements of the Plan include a Road and Street Plan, a Public Transit Plan, Aviation System Plan, a Bicycle (non-motorized) Plan, and Commodity Movement Plan. Other activities include the planning for mobile source emissions of air quality, the preparation of the Transportation Systems Management Element, a Transportation Improvement Program, an Action Plan for the coordination of social service transportation services and Energy Planning.

COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS  
LINE ITEM BUDGET AND JUSTIFICATION

The following are the salaries and fringe benefits for the COG staff for the 1983/84 FY and include any step increases that the staff are entitled to. All fringe benefits are programmed at the rate anticipated by the County for the coming year. The 1982/83 figures are the total dollars of both COG and Transportation budgets so a comparison can be made with this year's single budget.

LINE ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BUDGETED 1982/83	REQUESTED 1983/84
101	SALARIES - REGULAR  Includes four full-time positions: An Executive Director, a Transportation Planner at Step B, a Planner II at D Step, and a Clerk Steno II at Step D.	\$100,156	\$105,216
131	SALARIES - EXTRA HELP  This is to secure student help during the summer months of the year in undertaking the annual traffic counts. This will save considerable monies in not having to use COG staff at considerably higher salaries	\$ 5,000	\$ 7,000
141	SALARIES - CONTRACTUAL  This category includes all full-time contractual positions. The calculated salaries allow for promotions and normal step increases. The following provides a breakdown of classifications:  1 Planner II - (12 months) 2 Planner I's - (12 months each) 1 Account Technican II 1 Clerk Steno I	\$ 72,373	\$ 96,716
189	UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION		
191	RETIREMENT		
193	OASDI		
194	LIFE INSURANCE	\$ 45,525	\$ 43,062
195	HEALTH INSURANCE		
196	DENTAL INSURANCE		
	TOTAL SALARIES AND BENEFITS	\$214,992	\$251,994

LINE ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BUDGETED 1982/83	REQUESTED 1983/84
--------------	-------------	---------------------	----------------------

201	OFFICE EXPENSE - GENERAL	\$ 4,300	\$ 3,950
-----	--------------------------	----------	----------

The expenses in this category include all regular office supplies essential to the operation of the Transportation Planning Agency. One of the major costs included in this line item is printing, including the printing of our Annual Report and other reports prepared during the year.

202	OFFICE EXPENSE - POSTAGE	\$ 2,150	\$ 2,100
-----	--------------------------	----------	----------

One of the functions of the Transportation Planning Section of COG is to promote intergovernmental cooperation and coordination. This necessitates a considerable amount of information dissemination through the mails, a major portion of which are referrals of federal transportation grant requests, planning documents and review materials for the COG Board and other committees, as well as numerous mail surveys as a part of its on-going citizen participation program:

203	SUBSCRIPTIONS AND PERIODICALS	\$ 100	\$ 100
-----	-------------------------------	--------	--------

This budget item is used to purchase technical documents published by the Federal Department of Transportation and other agencies. It is impossible to list specific publications at this time due to uncertainties as to what publications will actually be available. Transportation Planning does subscribe to a number of periodicals which are as follows:

<u>Transit Journal</u>	\$18.00
<u>Metro</u>	8.00
<u>Mass Transit</u>	15.00
<u>Bicycle Forum</u>	8.00

Together, these documents and other periodicals provide the current technical library support that is helpful in the preparation of transportation reports, plans, and in keeping abreast of the state of the art.

LINE ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BUDGETED 1982/83	REQUESTED 1983/84
206	COMMUNICATIONS	\$ 2,800	\$ 2,668
	<p>Communications, specifically the telephone, play an important part in carrying out the coordination of COG's Transportation Planning functions with local, State and Federal agencies. Efforts have been made to hold long distance calls to a minimum. The County's new computerized system should help reduce costs somewhat. Total communication cost is estimated by the County.</p>		
211	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	\$ 180	\$ 150
	<p>This line item reflects the cost of the contractual maintenance service as secured by the County for <u>two electric typewriters</u> at \$32.00 each and <u>two ten-key calculators</u> at \$27.00.</p>		
214	RENTS AND LEASES - EQUIPMENT	\$ 2,650	\$ 2,925
	<p>The cost incurred within this line item reflect COG's photocopying costs. Considerable reliance upon a reproduction machine is essential to carrying out our varied responsibilities. This figure barely covers the cost of the basic machine rental charges plus copies.</p>		
217	TRANSPORTATION AND TRAVEL	\$ 3,900	\$ 3,200
	<p>Trips<sup>9</sup> outside of the County are primarily for training and/or special educational purposes which enable staff to conduct their duties in a more knowledgeable fashion. Changes in the transportation planning profession occur frequently and rapidly, and the opportunity to attend conferences, workshops, and training courses will greatly assist staff in providing technical assistance to local governments as well as providing direction to the preparation and implementation of transportation plans.</p> <p>Some typical travel needs for the year include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>. 1 workshop sponsored by the Institute of Transportation Studies - \$200</li> <li>. 1 Training Course sponsored by Federal DOT - \$300</li> </ul>		

LINE ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BUDGETED 1982/83	REQUESTED 1983/84
-----------	-------------	------------------	-------------------

- . 1 Annual IPG meeting (2 persons) - \$800
- . 4 workshops or technical seminars sponsored by Caltrans (usually in San Francisco or Sacramento) - \$300
- . 10 unscheduled meetings with state agencies in Sacramento - \$300
- . 1 national conference or business meeting of significant importance - \$1,500
- . 10 meetings of the Regional Transportation Planning Agencies which meet in Los Angeles, San Francisco, and Sacramento

218	MOTOR POOL	\$ 3,300	\$ 2,850
-----	------------	----------	----------

This line item reflects costs to be incurred by the Transportation Planning Section of COG in using motor pool vehicles for trips within and outside the County as well as the estimated cost for maintenance and operation of the vehicle assigned to the COG Director

220	PROFESSIONAL AND SPECIAL SERVICES	\$ 62,046	\$ 59,000
-----	-----------------------------------	-----------	-----------

Funds included in this line item are used chiefly to carry out contractual work or specific items in the COG Overall Work Program. These work tasks are contracted to the County Planning Department (\$7,000), the Stockton Metropolitan Transit District (\$12,000), and (\$15,000) to the State for audits. Financial and compliance audits are required to be performed annually. Additionally, some \$20,000 will be used for contractual planning to help move the Crosstown Freeway project along to an earlier completion. Some \$5,000 is also being programmed to acquire a special census information package.

220-0200	ALLOCATED SERVICE DEPARTMENT COSTS	\$ 20,018	\$ 14,845
----------	------------------------------------	-----------	-----------

This figure represents the cost allocation plan estimated by the County as the estimated charges for services to be rendered during the fiscal year. At the end of the year they calculate the precise charge and make an adjustment or roll forward on the following year's billing. Under the new organizational arrangement we will pay directly for all such services. The exact amount is unclear at this time, so we have continued to program the anticipated Service Department costs.

LINE ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BUDGETED 1982-85	REQUESTED 1985-84
223	PUBLICATIONS AND LEGAL NOTICES	\$ 300	\$ 325
	In the course of the year, it is necessary to advertise public hearings for the purpose of adopting various plans and plan elements. The funds requested are an estimate of such a need.		
232	INSURANCE - WORKER'S COMPENSATION	\$ 975	\$ 324
	This figure is based on estimates prepared by the County.		
236	INSURANCE - CASUALTY	\$ 34	\$ 71
	TOTAL SERVICES AND SUPPLIES	\$101,908	\$ 87,564
451	FIXED ASSETS	\$ -0-	\$ 12,258
	This line item includes the purchase of a small computer system and ancillary equipment for better management practices (financial records, program) and to establish a computer-based data file to provide quick response to the transportation problems of COG's member governments. A system within COG could be used immediately for word processing and improved TDA management as well as overall fiscal management. It could also be used for the collection of transportation data that would enable staff to provide quick response to the member governments on transportation-related issues, trip generation, air quality, and special studies.		
	There is also a need for additional file storage capacity and a four drawer legal size file cabinet is included to meet that need.		
	TOTAL FIXED ASSETS	\$ -0-	\$ 12,258
	TOTAL SALARIES AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$214,992	\$251,994
	TOTAL SERVICES AND SUPPLIES	\$101,908	\$ 87,564
	TOTAL FIXED ASSETS	\$ -0-	\$ 12,258
		<u>\$316,900</u>	<u>\$351,816</u>

LINE ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BUDGETED 1982/83	REQUESTED 1983/84
ANTICIPATED REVENUES			
	FAA	\$ -	\$ 10,000
	PL Funds	59,500	127,500
	UMTA	41,800	24,000
	State Subventions	9,900	24,250
	1982/83 Planning Contributions	108,466	98,000
	1981/82 Planning Contribution Carryover	45,015	30,000
	TDA Administration	39,000	39,000
	San Joaquin County	-	6,000
		<u>\$303,781</u>	<u>\$358,750</u>