

NOTES:

- Allocation estimates based on \$2.6 grant reduced by \$100,000 in general administration costs.
- County, Ripon, and Escalon allocations adjusted to maintain \$75,000 base allocation.
- Estimated allocation to Lodi exceeds FY 84 entitlement of \$393,900.

Following discussion with questions being directed to Staff, Council took the following actions:

RES. NO. 84-181

- a) On motion of Council Member Reid, Hinchman second, adopted Resolution No. 84-181 - Resolution Authorizing Execution of Urban County Cooperation Agreement.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Hinchman, Olson, Reid, and Snider (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - Pinkerton

Absent: Council Members - None

- b) On motion of Mayor Pro Tempore Hinchman, Reid second, Council approved an "Urban County" Allocation Agreement and authorized the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the subject document on behalf of the City.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Hinchman, Olson, Reid, and Snider (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - Pinkerton

Absent: Council Members - None

RES. NO. 84-182

- c) On motion of Mayor Pro Tempore Hinchman, Olson second, Council adopted Resolution No. 84-182 - Resolution Deferring the Status of the City of Lodi as a Metropolitan City Under the Community Development Block Grant Program.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Hinchman, Olson, Reid, and Snider (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - Pinkerton

Absent: Council Members - None



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
San Francisco Regional Office, Region IX  
450 Golden Gate Avenue  
P.O. Box 36003  
San Francisco, California 94102

RECEIVED  
1984 OCT 29 PM 3 21

ALICE M. REINHOLD  
CITY CLERK  
CITY OF LODI

26 OCT 1984

Mr. Henry A. Glaves  
City Manager of Lodi  
City Hall - 221 West Pine Street  
Lodi, CA 95240

Dear Mr. Glaves:

SUBJECT: Urban County Entitlement Status  
1984 Technical Amendments  
City of Lodi

As you know, the recently enacted 1984 Technical Amendments to the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 make it possible for San Joaquin to qualify as an urban county under the Community Development Block Grant Program.

This qualification potential results from a new statutory opportunity for the City of Lodi to defer its metropolitan city status for Federal Fiscal Year 1985, and to participate in the CDBG Program as a part of the urban county. Without Lodi's deferment, San Joaquin cannot achieve the necessary 200,000 participating population.

Please note that Lodi will not lose its entitlement status should it defer its metropolitan city status and should San Joaquin subsequently fail to qualify for some reason. Thus, the election to defer metropolitan city status would only affect the form of Lodi's participation in the entitlement program, not the City's right to entitlement funds.

Under current legislation, your deferment of metropolitan city status would assure Lodi's participation in the urban county through Federal Fiscal Year 1987. Unless the statute is changed before Fiscal Year 1988, the City would resume its metropolitan city status that fiscal year.

Because FY 85 entitlement grant amounts cannot be computed nationwide until the number and composition of all entitlement jurisdictions have been established, it is critical that the urban county qualification process be completed in a timely manner. We have given San Joaquin County until December 7, 1984, to submit its cooperation agreements and other required material to this office.

We understand that the City and San Joaquin County have been in communication regarding the urban county question for some time, and our staff has also been in contact with both parties. We anticipate the resolution of all issues prior to December 7, 1984.

Please call Mike Daugherty, Community Planning & Development Representative, if there are questions, or if we can be of any assistance.

Sincerely,

*Stan O. Sachs*

for Salvatore P. Tedesco  
Acting Director, Office of  
Community Planning & Development

cc:  
Gaye Papais  
CDBG Coordinator

For ease of access by both City staff and future monitors, we suggest that all documentation pertaining to environmental clearances be amalgamated into a single ERR file.

Our monitoring resulted in no negative findings. City staff has done a fine job in bringing your activities quickly through the implementation stage. Our thanks to Jim Schroeder and Gaye Papais for their courtesy and assistance during our visit.

Sincerely,



Ricardo E. Rivas  
Program Manager, Team B  
Office of Community Planning  
and Development

cc:  
Mr. James Schroeder  
Planning Director  
Cit of Lodi

Gaye Papais  
CDBG Coordinataor  
City of Lodi



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development  
San Francisco Regional Office, Region IX  
450 Golden Gate Avenue  
P.O. Box 36003  
San Francisco, California 94102

NOV -9 PM 8 44

ALICE J. BENOHE  
CITY CLERK

08 NOV 1984

Mr. Henry A. Graves  
City Manager of Lodi  
City Hall - 221 West Pine Street  
Lodi, CA 95240

Dear Mr. Graves:

SUBJECT: Regular Monitoring  
Community Development Block Grant Program  
B-84-MC-06-0038  
City of Lodi

On November 2, 1984, Mike Daugherty of my staff visited Lodi to monitor the City's progress in carrying out its approved CDBG activities and to review your Environmental Review Record for compliance with the provisions of 24 CFR, Part 58.

Program Progress

Lodi received its first CDBG entitlement grant, totalling \$393,000, in June, 1984. Funds were reserved for storm drain and water system improvements in lower income neighborhoods, a sewer needs analysis, and general administrative costs.

The City is making excellent progress in the utilization of its grant funds. All physical improvement projects are well underway, and the sewer analysis has been finished. It appears that your FY 84 program will be essentially complete by the end of January, 1985.

According to our records, no drawdowns have yet been made under your CDBG Letter of Credit. If local funds have been used to make construction progress payments, you may wish to begin drawing down Federal funds to reimburse City accounts.

Environmental Review Record

Our limited review of your CDBG Environmental Review Record (ERR) indicates that the City has complied with the applicable provisions of 24 CFR, part 58. Your files contained the necessary material regarding release of funds, public notification, level of clearance, and source documentation.