

CC

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

DECEMBER 8, 1982

PG&E

PUC
APPLICATIONS

City Clerk presented information which had been received on Order granting rehearing for the purposes of further consideration re PG&E Docket No. ER80-214-002.

The City Clerk presented a letter which had been received from PG&E Co. giving notice that on November 19, 1982, it filed an amendment to Application No. 82-09-17 pertaining to its Conservation Financing Adjustment. The Amendment asks for a smaller increase in gas rates than the original filing and for a decrease rather than an increase in electric rates.

u

23

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PG&E + 77 BEALE STREET • SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 • (415) 781-4211

DANIEL E. GIBSON
ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL

RECEIVED
1982 NOV 29 AM 9:54
ALICE H. RENCHE
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LOS ANGELES

November 24, 1982

**TO: THE STATE, COUNTY AND CITY OFFICIALS
AND INTERESTED PARTIES**

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (PGandE) hereby gives notice that on November 19, 1982, it filed an Amendment to Application No. 82-09-17 pertaining to its Conservation Financing Adjustment. The Amendment asks for a smaller increase in gas rates than the original filing, and for a decrease rather than an increase in electric rates. These changes are due to revised estimates of the revenue requirement needed to support PGandE's 1983 Zero Interest Program of conservation financing.

The rates proposed in the Amendment would decrease PGandE's electric revenues by approximately \$2.42 million or about 0.06 percent (instead of an increase of approximately \$3.05 million or about 0.075 percent originally proposed) and increase gas revenues by approximately \$13.76 million or about 0.37 percent (instead of an increase of approximately \$38.73 million or about 1.04 percent) for a twelve month period beginning January 1, 1983. The increase in gas revenues will not raise PGandE's rate of return above the level last found just and reasonable by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).

PGandE also gives notice that on November 19, 1982, it also filed an Amendment to Application No. 82-08-18 pertaining to its Residential Conservation Service Balancing Account. The Amendment asks for smaller increases in electric and gas rates than the original filing due to revised estimates of costs needed to support the Residential Conservation Service Program in 1983.

The rates proposed by this Amendment would increase PGandE's electric revenues by approximately \$1.1 million or about 0.027 percent (instead of an increase of approximately \$1.41 million or about 0.035 percent as originally proposed) and increase gas revenues by approximately \$2.97 million or about 0.08 percent (instead of an increase of approximately \$4.40 million or about 0.118 percent) for a twelve month period beginning January 1, 1983.

The State, the counties, the municipal corporations and other parties interested in the above-described filings will be furnished copies of either or both, with related exhibits, upon written request made to PGandE, 77 Beale Street, P.O. Box 7442, San Francisco, California 94120, Attention: Daniel E. Gibson, Assistant General Counsel.

13

The State, County and City
Officials and Interested Parties
November 24, 1982
Page 2

This notice is given in accordance with Rule 24 of the revised Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission.

Very truly yours,

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

BY 
DANIEL E. GIBSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Before Commissioners: C. M. Butler III, Chairman;
Georgiana Sheldon, J. David Hughes,
A. G. Sousa and Oliver G. Richard III.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company) Docket No. ER80-214-002

OPINION NO. 147-A

ORDER DENYING REHEARING

(Issued November 30, 1982)

On September 22, 1982, the Commission issued Opinion No. 147, which determined the appropriate rate to be charged by Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Sierra Pacific Power Company, Bay Point Light and Power Company, CP National Corporation, and the California Cities of Alameda, Healdsburg, Lompoc, Ukiah, Santa Clara, Lodi and Palo Alto, which are members of the Northern California Power Agency. The Northern California Power Agency filed an application for rehearing of Opinion No. 147 on October 22, 1982. An order granting rehearing for purposes of further consideration was issued November 22, 1982.


• NCPA requests rehearing on two issues: the treatment of sales to the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the steam sales expense offset issue. NCPA raises no matters on rehearing that were not previously considered by the Commission. Its rehearing application therefore is denied.

The Commission orders:

The October 22, 1982 rehearing application filed by the Northern California Power Agency in the above docket is denied.

By the Commission.

(S E A L)



Lois D. Cashell,
Acting Secretary.

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

RECEIVED

1982 NOV 29 AM 9 55

ALICE M. REIMCHE
CITY CLERK
CITY OF LODI

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION
FERC 351



City of Lodi
221 West Pine Street
Lodi, CA 95240

ER80-214