CITY COUNCIL MEETING

December 2, 1981

COUNCIL SUPPORTS OF P.G.& E. RELICENSING OF ROCK CREEK/CRESTA AND MOKELUMNE PROJECTS RES. NO. 81-170	Following introduction of the matter by Councilman Pinkerton and Council discussion, Council, on motion of Mayor Pro Tempore Murphy, Katnich second, adopted Resolution No. 81-170 - Resolution in support of P.G.& E. relicensing of Rock Creek/Cresta and Mokelumne Projects. The motion carried by the following votes:	
	Ayes:	Councilmen - Katnich, Murphy, Pinkerton, and McCarty (Mayor)
	Noes:	Councilmen - Hughes
	Absent:	Councilmen - None

Pg 61

CITY COUNCIL
JAMES A. McCARTY, Mayor
ROBERT G. MURPHY, Mayor Pro Tem
RICHARD L. HUGHES
WALTER KATNICH
JAMES W. PINKERTON, Jr.

CITY OF LODI

CITY HALL, 221 WEST PINE STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 320
LODI, CALIFORNIA 95241
(209) 334-5634

HENRY A. GLAVES, Jr.
City Manager

ALICE M. REIMCHE
City Clerk

RONALD M. STEIN
City Attorney

December 23, 1981

Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find a certified copy of Resolution No. 81-170, "Resolution in Support of PG&E Relicensing of Rock Creek/Cresta and Mokelumne Projects", which was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held December 9, 1981.

Very truly yours,

Alice M. Reimche
City Clerk

AR:dg

Enc.

Sent certified copy to Stockton, Tracy, Manteca, Escalon, Ripon and San Joaquin County

RESOLUTION NO. 81-170

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF PG&E RELICENSING OF ROCK CREEK/CRESTA AND MOKELUMNE PROJECTS

WHEREAS, Pacific Gas and Electric Company and its customers have over the years developed dependable and reliable hydroelectric facilities on the Mokelumne and North Fork Feather Rivers;

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Clara has filed to take over PG&E's Mokelumne River Project, and the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton and Riverside, together with the Northern California Power Agency have filed to take over PG&E's Rock Creek/Cresta Project on the North Fork Feather River;

WHEREAS, PG&E has an efficiently integrated hydroelectric system which distributes the benefits of low-cost hydroelectric power among all of its 3.5 million customers in northern and central California;

WHEREAS, PG&E's customers would be forced to pay increased costs for replacement oil fuel that could easily exceed $100,000,000 each year if these projects are not licensed to PG&E; and

WHEREAS, continued operation of these projects by PG&E is essential to the fullest possible utilization of these resources in the public interest,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Lodi vigorously opposes the efforts of Santa Clara, Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Riverside and NCPA to take over Rock Creek/Cresta and Mokelumne Projects; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Lodi strongly supports PG&E's efforts to relicense its hydroelectric plants including the Mokelumne and Rock Creek/Cresta Projects.

Dated: December 9, 1981

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 81-170 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in an adjourned regular meeting held December 9, 1981 by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen - Hughes, Murphy, Pinkerton, Katnich and McCarty

Noes: Councilmen - None

Absent: Councilmen - None

Alice M. Reimche

ALICE M. REIMCHE
City Clerk

636.00

B/C-4

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY
OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

R-81-1203

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF PG&E RELICENSING OF ROCK CREEK-
CRESTA AND MOKELUMNE PROJECTS

-oOo-

WHEREAS, Pacific Gas and Electric Company and its customers have over the years developed dependable and reliable hydroelectric facilities on the Mokelumne and North Fork Feather Rivers;

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Clara has filed to take over PG&E's Mokelumne River Project, and the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton and Riverside, together with the Northern California Power Agency have filed to take over PG&E's Rock Creek/Cresta Project on the North Fork Feather River;

WHEREAS, PG&E has an efficiently integrated hydroelectric system which distributes the benefits of low-cost hydroelectric power among all of its 3.5 million customers in northern and central California;

WHEREAS, PG&E's customers would be forced to pay increased costs for replacement oil fuel that could easily exceed $100,000,000 each year if these projects are not licensed to PG&E: and

WHEREAS, continued operation of these projects by PG&E is essential to the fullest possible utilization of these resources in the public interest,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors vigorously opposes the efforts of Santa Clara, Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Riverside and NCPA to take over ROCK CREEK/CRESTA and MOKELUMNE PROJECTS; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors strongly supports PG&E's efforts to relicense its hydroelectric plants including the Mokelumne and Rock Creek/Cresta Projects.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of AUG 4 1981, 1981, by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors, to wit:

Filed AUG 4 1981, 19__
JORETTA J. HAYDE,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
By Cindy Dukmetz
Deputy

636.00

B/C-4

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY
OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

R-81-1203

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF PG&E RELICENSING OF ROCK CREEK-
CRESTA AND MOKELUMNE PROJECTS

-oOo-

WHEREAS, Pacific Gas and Electric Company and its customers have over the years developed dependable and reliable hydroelectric facilities on the Mokelumne and North Fork Feather Rivers;

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Clara has filed to take over PG&E's Mokelumne River Project, and the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton and Riverside, together with the Northern California Power Agency have filed to take over PG&E's Rock Creek/Cresta Project on the North Fork Feather River;

WHEREAS, PG&E has an efficiently integrated hydroelectric system which distributes the benefits of low-cost hydroelectric power among all of its 3.5 million customers in northern and central California;

WHEREAS, PG&E's customers would be forced to pay increased costs for replacement oil fuel that could easily exceed $100,000,000 each year if these projects are not licensed to PG&E: and

WHEREAS, continued operation of these projects by PG&E is essential to the fullest possible utilization of these resources in the public interest,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors vigorously opposes the efforts of Santa Clara, Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Riverside and NCPA to take over ROCK CREEK/CRESTA and MOKELUMNE PROJECTS; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors strongly supports PG&E's efforts to relicense its hydroelectric plants including the Mokelumne and Rock Creek/Cresta Projects.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of AUG 4 1981, 1981, by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors, to wit:

Filed AUG 4 1981 19____
JORETTA J. HAYDE,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
By Cindy Quikmuts
Deputy

YES: YOSHIKAWA, BARBER, COSTA, WILHOIT

NOES: NONE

ABSENT: ALVA

DOUGLASS W. WILHOIT
Board of Supervisors
County of San Joaquin
State of California

ATTEST: JORETTA J. HAYDE
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of San Joaquin,
State of California

By: Cindy DeBrutz (SEAL)
Deputy Clerk

Distribution: Board 2
Administrator
Controller
Counsel

Senator John Garamendi
Assemblyman Pat Johnston
Assemblyman Norman Waters
Governor Jerry Brown
County Supervisors Association of California

65.6.00

B/C-4

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY
OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

R-81-1203

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF PG&E RELICENSING OF ROCK CREEK-
CRESTA AND MOKELUMNE PROJECTS

-oOo-

WHEREAS, Pacific Gas and Electric Company and its customers have over the years developed dependable and reliable hydroelectric facilities on the Mokelumne and North Fork Feather Rivers;

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Clara has filed to take over PG&E's Mokelumne River Project, and the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton and Riverside, together with the Northern California Power Agency have filed to take over PG&E's Rock Creek/Cresta Project on the North Fork Feather River;

WHEREAS, PG&E has an efficiently integrated hydroelectric system which distributes the benefits of low-cost hydroelectric power among all of its 3.5 million customers in northern and central California;

WHEREAS, PG&E's customers would be forced to pay increased costs for replacement oil fuel that could easily exceed $100,000,000 each year if these projects are not licensed to PG&E: and

WHEREAS, continued operation of these projects by PG&E is essential to the fullest possible utilization of these resources in the public interest,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors vigorously opposes the efforts of Santa Clara, Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Riverside and NCPA to take over ROCK CREEK/CRESTA and MOKELUMNE PROJECTS; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors strongly supports PG&E's efforts to relicense its hydroelectric plants including the Mokelumne and Rock Creek/Cresta Projects.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of AUG 4 1981, 1981, by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors, to wit:

AUG 4 1981
Filed _____, 19__
JORETTA J. HAYDE,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
By Cindy Dukmutz
Deputy

YES: YOSHIKAWA, BARBER, COSTA, WILHOIT

NOES: NONE

ABSENT: ALVA

DOUGLASS W. WILHOIT
Board of Supervisors
County of San Joaquin
State of California

ATTEST: JORETTA J. HAYDE
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of San Joaquin,
State of California

By: Deputy Clerk (SEAL)

Distribution: Board 2
Administrator
Controller
Counsel

Senator John Garamendi
Assemblyman Pat Johnston
Assemblyman Norman Waters
Governor Jerry Brown
County Supervisors Association of California

636.00

B/C-4

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY
OF SAN JOAQUIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

R-81-1203

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF PG&E RELICENSING OF ROCK CREEK-
CRESTA AND MOKELUMNE PROJECTS

-oOo-

WHEREAS, Pacific Gas and Electric Company and its customers have over the years developed dependable and reliable hydroelectric facilities on the Mokelumne and North Fork Feather Rivers;

WHEREAS, the City of Santa Clara has filed to take over PG&E's Mokelumne River Project, and the Cities of Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton and Riverside, together with the Northern California Power Agency have filed to take over PG&E's Rock Creek/Cresta Project on the North Fork Feather River;

WHEREAS, PG&E has an efficiently integrated hydroelectric system which distributes the benefits of low-cost hydroelectric power among all of its 3.5 million customers in northern and central California;

WHEREAS, PG&E's customers would be forced to pay increased costs for replacement oil fuel that could easily exceed $100,000,000 each year if these projects are not licensed to PG&E: and

WHEREAS, continued operation of these projects by PG&E is essential to the fullest possible utilization of these resources in the public interest,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors vigorously opposes the efforts of Santa Clara, Anaheim, Azusa, Banning, Colton, Riverside and NCPA to take over ROCK CREEK/CRESTA and MOKELUMNE PROJECTS; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors strongly supports PG&E's efforts to relicense its hydroelectric plants including the Mokelumne and Rock Creek/Cresta Projects.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of AUG 4 1981, 1981, by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors, to wit:

AUG 4 1981
Filed _____ 19__
JORETTA J. HAYDE,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
By Cindy Quikmitz
Deputy

YES: YOSHIKAWA, BARBER, COSTA, WILHOIT

NOES: NONE

ABSENT: ALVA

DOUGLAS W. WILHOIT
Board of Supervisors
County of San Joaquin
State of California

ATTEST: JORETTA J. HAYDE
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of San Joaquin,
State of California

By: Cindy Du Bruitz (SEAL)
Deputy Clerk

Distribution: Board 2
Administrator
Controller
Counsel

Senator John Garamendi
Assemblyman Pat Johnston
Assemblyman Norman Waters
Governor Jerry Brown
County Supervisors Association of California