

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

DECEMBER 15, 1982

AWARD - TURF
MOWER

City Manager Graves presented the following bids which had been received for a Turf Mower for the Recreation Department:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
H. V. Carter	\$ 9,665.08
Jenkins Machinery Co. Alt. Bid	\$ 7,942.58 \$10,388.35
Duke Equipment & Irrigation	\$12,234.44

City Manager Graves apprised the Council that the Duke Equipment and Irrigation bid was the only one that met

specifications and for that reason he recommended that the bid be awarded to that Company. Council adopted the following Resolution:

RES.NO.82-141

RESOLUTION NO. 82-141

RESOLUTION AWARDING THE BID FOR A ROTARY TURF MOWER FOR THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT TO DUKE EQUIPMENT AND IRRIGATION COMPANY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$12,234.44.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING

DECEMBER 15, 1982

AWARD - TURF
MOWER

City Manager Graves presented the following bids which had been received for a Turf Mower for the Recreation Department:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
H. V. Carter	\$ 9,665.08
Jenkins Machinery Co.	\$ 7,942.58
Alt. Bid	\$10,388.35
Duke Equipment & Irrigation	\$12,234.44

City Manager Graves apprised the Council that the Duke Equipment and Irrigation bid was the only one that met

specifications and for that reason he recommended that the bid be awarded to that Company. Council adopted the following Resolution:

RES.NO.82-141

RESOLUTION NO. 82-141

RESOLUTION AWARDING THE BID FOR A ROTARY TURF MOWER FOR THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT TO DUKE EQUIPMENT AND IRRIGATION COMPANY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$12,234.44.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

TO: THE CITY COUNCIL
FROM: THE CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DATE
12/6/82

NO.

SUBJECT: BID FOR ROTARY TURF MOWER

As per the attached information, we are recommending that Duke Equipment be given the bid for the mower requested on the basis that they were the only one who met the specifications and we sincerely feel based on past experience that they have a piece of equipment that will be freer of problems and in the long run will be the cheapest.



Ed DeBenedetti, Director
Recreation and Parks

Attach.

BID PROPOSALS RECEIVED FOR ROTARY TURF MOWER
November 26, 1982

SPECIFIC SPECIFICATIONS WE REQUESTED:

1. Gas engine, water-cooled, of not less than 24 horsepower. Need for horsepower to navigate 22-degree slopes.
2. The mower shall be heavy-duty riding type, equipped to make a 72" cut.
3. The machine shall mow effectively with full direction on a 22° slope. We have many slopes where we need power when the grass is wet or long.
4. The supplier must have a good, responsible, effective parts service record, one that can respond within a few days.

BID SPECIFICATIONS REQUESTED:

	<u>Service</u>	<u>24 h.p.</u>	<u>72 Cut</u>	<u>Price</u>
1. Bobcat - Gas	Unknown	19.9 h.p.	74	\$ 7,942.58
Bobcat - Diesel	Unknown	22 h.p.	74	10,388.35
2. Jacobsen - Diesel D# 220	Good	22 h.p.	72	9,665.08
3. Toro G.H. - Gas	Good	24.5 h.p.	72	12,234.44

Actually, neither Bidders #1 nor #2 meet specifications.
 #1 - Jenkins Bobcat does not have the horsepower in gas or diesel.
 #2 - Jacobsen bid diesel only, no gas available, and lacks in horsepower.
 #3 - Toro is the only bidder that meets specs; however, they are also the most expensive.

We presently have a Toro machine that we have had for approximately six years, and it has been a real gem. It has been free of large maintenance problems, has been very dependable, and navigates slopes very well. We have been extremely happy with this machine.

On the other hand, we have had the Jacobsen mower with diesel in a larger machine and we have had nothing but problems. It appears

11/26/82

that the diesel power is not coordinated with transmission and clutch operation, and we have had to replace them regularly. Our gas-operated Jacobsen mowers, however, have been most dependable.

We recommend the following:

Bidder #3, Toro, supplied by Duke Equipment in Sacramento. Their mower, which we have used for six years, has been most dependable and their parts service has been very prompt.

Bidder #1, Bobcat, supplied by Jenkins Equipment of Concord, is an unknown factor regarding parts supply. We have had no experience with them.

We specified what we felt and knew would do the job adequately and economically; consequently, we went with experience and recommend Toro.

RESOLUTION NO. 82-141

AWARD - BID FOR ROTARY TURF MOWER

WHEREAS, in answer to notice duly published in accordance with law and the order of this City Council, sealed bids were received and publicly opened by the City Clerk of this City on December 1, 1982 at 11:00 a.m. for the contract for a Rotary Turf Mower as described in the specifications therefor approved by the City Council December 15, 1982; and

WHEREAS, said bids have been compared, checked, and tabulated and a report thereof filed with the City Manager as follows:

<u>BIDDER</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
H. V. Carter Company	\$ 9,665.08
Jenkins Machinery Company	\$ 7,942.58 Alt. \$10,388.35
Duke Equipment and Irrigation	\$12,234.44

WHEREAS, the City Manager recommends that award be made to Duke Equipment and Irrigation,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lodi that award of contract for a Rotary Turf Mower be and the same is hereby made to Duke Equipment and Irrigation, the low bidder, in the amount of \$12,234.44.

Dated: December 15, 1982

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 82-141 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held December 15, 1982 by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Pinkerton, Murphy, Olson, Snider and Reid (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - None

Absent: Council Members - None

Alice M. Reimche
Alice M. Reimche
City Clerk