

CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF LODI  
CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
APRIL 27, 1966

An adjourned regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Lodi was held beginning at 5:30 p.m. of Wednesday, April 27, 1966 in the City Hall Council Chambers.

ROLL CALL Present: Councilmen - BROWN, CULBERTSON, HUNNELL,  
KIRSTEN and WALTON (Mayor)

Absent: Councilmen - None

Also present were City Manager Graves, Administrative Assistant Peterson, Planning Director Schroeder, and City Attorney Mullen.

ORDINANCES

AUTHORIZE  
CONTRACT  
WITH STATE  
EMPLOYEES'  
RETIREMENT  
SYSTEM

ORD. NO. 814  
ADOPTED

ORDINANCE NO. 814, entitled "AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM," having been introduced at the adjourned regular meeting of April 19, 1966, was brought up for passage on motion of Councilman Culbertson, Kirsten second. Second reading was omitted after reading by title, and the ordinance was then passed, adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen - BROWN, CULBERTSON, HUNNELL,  
KIRSTEN and WALTON

Noes: Councilmen - None

Absent: Councilmen - None

REPEAL  
ARTICLE II,  
CHAPTER 17 OF  
CITY CODE  
RE: LOCAL  
RETIREMENT  
SYSTEM

ORD. NO. 815  
ADOPTED

ORDINANCE NO. 815, entitled "ORDINANCE REPEALING ARTICLE II OF CHAPTER 17 OF THE LODI CITY CODE ENTITLED RETIREMENT SYSTEM," having been introduced at the adjourned regular meeting of April 19, 1966 was brought up for passage on motion of Councilman Kirsten, Brown second. Second reading was omitted after reading by title, and the ordinance was then passed, adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen - BROWN, CULBERTSON, HUNNELL,  
KIRSTEN and WALTON

Noes: Councilmen - None

Absent: Councilmen - None

SETTING  
MAXIMUM AGE  
OF EMPLOYMENT  
AT 65 YEARS

ORD. NO. 816  
ADOPTED

ORDINANCE NO. 816, entitled "ORDINANCE ADDING SECTION 17-2.1 TO THE LODI CITY CODE SETTING FORTH THE MAXIMUM AGE OF EMPLOYMENT TO BE 65 YEARS," having been introduced at the adjourned regular meeting of April 19, 1966 was brought up for passage on motion of Councilman Kirsten, Culbertson second. Second reading was omitted after reading by title, and the ordinance was then passed, adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen - BROWN, CULBERTSON, HUNNELL,  
KIRSTEN and WALTON

Noes: Councilmen - None

Absent: Councilmen - None

Minutes of April 27, 1966 continued

LETTERS OF  
APPRECIATION  
TO PENSION  
BOARD

Mayor Walton noted that with the adoption of Ordinance No. 315 abolishing the local retirement system, the City Pension Board will no longer be in existence. The City Council agreed that letters of appreciation be sent to Pension Board members thanking them for their service in this capacity.

CONDITION OF  
SANITARY  
LANDFILL

Councilman Brown said he had heard unfavorable comments on a Stockton radio station regarding the City of Lodi's sanitary landfill operation on Harney Lane. He suggested the City Council visit the site as a group to see the operation. City Manager Graves said he has been meeting with County of San Joaquin officials regarding this. He said the landfill is being used much more than had been envisioned and the cost of operation this year will be much higher. Councilman Kirsten said he would like to see a stop put to those people who haul more material away from the dump than they bring in. The City Manager said he would be pleased to restrict the activities of these "junk collectors" if the City Council wished to do so. He said these people are a nuisance and a problem. He said charging admission to the grounds is not a satisfactory answer because of the complexity and cost of administration of such a program. The City Manager told the Council that a county-wide study has been proposed to carefully review and evaluate all dumps throughout the county. The Council asked the City Manager to schedule a visit to the dump grounds, preferably to coincide with a similar visit by county officials.

REAPPOINTMENT  
OF COUNCILMAN  
BROWN TO  
ELECTION BOARD  
OF COMMUNITY  
ACTION COUNCIL

Councilman Brown said the City Council should make a new appointment to the Election Board of the Community Action Council. He said he would be willing to serve in this capacity again. On motion of Councilman Kirsten, Culbertson second, Councilman Brown was reappointed to the Election Board of the Community Action Council.

Councilman Brown said he would watch closely reports of anti-poverty programs being administered in one city by an agency of another city. The Council told Councilman Brown such program administration was disturbing.

SEMINAR AT  
LEAGUE OF  
CALIFORNIA  
CITIES  
CONFERENCE

Councilman Culbertson told the Council of seminars on water pollution and solid waste disposal to be held the opening Sunday of the annual League of California Cities Conference to be held this year in San Diego, October 16-19.

MENTAL  
HEALTH  
MEETING

Councilman Munnell said the City Manager and Mayor or his representative have been invited to a meeting Monday, May 2, 1966 of the San Joaquin County Medical Society for Mental Health. City Manager Graves said he would pursue this.

AGENDA  
ITEMS

Mr. William Rempfer, 115 South Fairmont Avenue, asked the Council for clarification on getting items placed on the City Council agenda. Mayor Walton told him there is a place on the agenda for hearing from the audience and that he would be free to introduce his items at that time.

KIRST RE  
TESTIMONY AT  
COUNTY  
PLANNING  
COMMISSION

Mr. Stanley Kirst, 18 North School Street, appeared before the City Council to protest action on the part of the City of Lodi. He said he was very much opposed to the personal appearance of Planning Director James E. Schroeder at the April 23, 1966 meeting of the County Planning Commission at which approval of his subdivision in Woodbridge was granted. Mr. Schroeder had been

Minutes of April 27, 1966 continued

asked to appear in behalf of the City by the Planning Commission in opposition to a rezoning request by Mr. Kirst. The subdivision was originally recommended for approval by the City Planning Commission without the commercially zoned lots. The amended request of Mr. Kirst for four commercially zoned lots in this subdivision was given a recommendation of denial by the City Planning Commission on referral from the County Planning Commission. Mr. Kirst said recommending denial of his request for four commercial lots in this area should have been sufficient and that no personal appearance was necessary.

Mr. Kirst said he was told by Mr. Schroeder that the approval by the County Planning Commission meant the application would be further protested at the Board of Supervisors meeting on May 26, 1966. Mr. Kirst then asked the City Council to direct the City Planning Commission and Mr. Schroeder not to appear at the next public meeting involving his rezoning application. He said Woodbridge is not included in the City General Plan.

Mr. Schroeder answered that Woodbridge is included in the City's General Plan. He said he has been requested by the City Planning Commission to appear at the May 26, 1966 meeting of the County Board of Supervisors in regard to this matter.

City Manager Graves said this was not the first time such a personal appearance had been made. He said the City has invested considerable money on the basis of the General Plan and when the County ignores the plan the City has a matter to be concerned with.

Mr. Kirst told the City Council the General Plan referred to has never been adopted by the Council.

Councilman Kirsten said it was the duty of the City Council to protect the interests of all the people of Lodi and that this includes instructions to the County Planning Commission. He said he felt it was perfectly proper to represent the interests in the City of Lodi in such a manner, and was dismayed at the lack of interest in Lodi shown by the County Planning Commission. He moved that City Council endorse the City Planning Commission action that a City of Lodi representative appear at the May 26 County Board of Supervisors meeting to continue this protest. There was no second to the motion.

Mrs. Stanley Kirst, 18 N. School Street, said the area in question is not next to Lodi and thus there is no need for a Lodi representative to appear at the meeting.

Councilman Kirsten moved that the City Council confirm its policy of making personal appearances whenever it is deemed appropriate in the best interests of the City of Lodi. The motion was seconded by Councilman Culbertson.

Mr. Elmer Kirst, 221 South Crescent Avenue, said no progress is being made in Woodbridge and that this area is entitled to modern stores.

Mayor Walton said he disagreed with Mr. Kirst. He said the City Council has confidence in Planning Director Schroeder. The Mayor said Woodbridge, while not now in the City, may be annexed some day. He said it's the City's right to appear in such matters and be heard.

Minutes of April 27, 1966 continued

The question was called and the motion of Councilman Kirsten that the City Council confirm its policy of making personal appearances whenever it is deemed appropriate in the best interests of the City of Lodi was carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Councilmen - CULBERTSON, HUNNELL, KIRSTEN  
and WALTON

Noes: Councilmen - BROWN

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the Council adjourned at 7:05 p.m. on motion of Councilman Kirsten, Brown second.



ATTEST: THOMAS A. PETERSON  
Deputy City Clerk