

PUBLIC HEARINGS

PERMIT PARKING  
AREA OF CANNERY

Notice thereof having been published according to law, an affidavit of which publication is on file in the office of the City Clerk, Mayor Hinchman called for the Public Hearing to seek community input regarding the adoption of policy guidelines for the designation as to permit parking only of the residential area adjacent to the Pacific Coast Producers Cannery on South Stockton Street, Lodi, California.

The matter was introduced by Staff who presented diagrams of the subject area and responded to questions as were posed by Council.

The following persons spoke in favor of permit parking in the subject area:

- a) Mr. Ben Kauk, 209 Maple Street, Lodi
- b) Ms. Inez Villa, 817 S. Washington Street, Lodi
- c) Mr. Albert Castro, 206 Maple Street, Lodi
- d) Pastor Loren G. Stacy, Church of God-Seventh Day, 245 E. Vine Street, Lodi
- e) Mrs. Darrell Mueller, 412 N. Ham Lane, Lodi, owner of property at 735 - 731 S. Washington Street, Lodi
- f) Mr. Dale Baumbach, 1453 W. Tokay Street, Lodi, owner of property at 814 S. Washington Street, Lodi

g) Mrs. Arnold Schnaible, 728 S. Washington  
Street, Lodi

No persons were in the audience wishing to speak in opposition to permit parking in the subject area.

Mr. Mike Bradley, Executive Manager of the Lodi District Chamber of Commerce, addressed the Council regarding the various actions that had been taken by Pacific Coast Producers over the years in attempting to alleviate parking problems in the area of their facility.

There being no other persons in the audience wishing to speak on the matter the public portion of the hearing was closed.

Council Member Pinkerton proposed various alternatives that could be implemented to remedy the present parking and traffic problems which included amendments to the present ordinance; limited time parking alternatives; a combination of limited time parking and permit parking.

Council Member Pinkerton proposed a permit parking fee of \$1.00 for three years; suggested police department monitoring of the subject area; and proposed that the restrictions be implemented for the yearly 9 month period that the cannery is in operation.

A lengthy discussion followed with questions being directed to those who had given testimony and to Staff. The following alternatives were recapped as possible solutions to the situation:

- 1) No parking for 1 hour
  - a) 2 times/day
  - b) 3 times/day
- 2) 1 hour time limit during 2 hour period
  - a) 2 times/day
  - b) 3 times/day
- 3) Resident Permit plus no parking as set forth in item 1 above
- 4) Resident Permit plus limited parking as set forth in item 2 above.
- 5) Permit Parking with one guest pass per resident
- 6) 2 hour time limit - all day or some portion of day

Additional discussion followed.

On motion of Council Member Snider, Olson second, Council directed Staff to bring back to Council, at the 2nd Council meeting in October, a written report detailing the various proposed solutions and alternates discussed at this meeting and included in testimony received at the Public Hearing regarding this matter.