

RESOLUTION NO. 98-89

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LODI, CALIFORNIA,
ESTABLISHING A CASH DEPOSIT OF \$100 BE MADE BY
COUNCIL CANDIDATES TO ENSURE POLITICAL SIGNS ARE
REMOVED WITHIN 30 DAYS FOLLOWING THE NOVEMBER 3, 1998
GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION

=====

WHEREAS, in order to ensure that political signs are removed by City Council candidates following the November 3, 1998 General Municipal Election, it is necessary to adopt a resolution addressing this matter;

WHEREAS, the regulations would require all Council candidates running for office in the November 3, 1998 General Municipal Election to make a \$100 cash deposit at the time they file their nomination papers to ensure the removal of their signs within 30 days following the election;

WHEREAS, following the election, when it has been determined that all of the candidate's signs have been removed within the 30 day time frame, the \$100 deposit will be returned to the candidate at his/her request.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council adopt resolution establishing a cash deposit of \$100 be made by Council candidates to ensure political signs are removed within 30 days following the November 3, 1998 General Municipal Election.

Dated: June 3, 1998

=====

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 98-89 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held June 3, 1998 by the following vote:

- Ayes: Council Members - Johnson, Land, Pennino and Sieglock (Mayor)
- Noes: Council Members - Mann
- Absent: Council Members - None
- Abstain: Council Members - None


Alice M. Reimche
City Clerk

RESOLUTION NO. 98-90

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL ADOPTING
THE CITY OF LODI'S POSITION ON CALFED BAY/DELTA
PROGRAM DRAFT PROGRAMMATIC EIS/EIR

=====

WHEREAS, the City of Lodi is concerned with the water supply and water quality needs of all of San Joaquin County; and

WHEREAS, the CALFED Bay/Delta Program has prepared a Draft Programmatic EIS/EIR; and

WHEREAS, the alternative programs discussed in the Draft EIS/EIR will have significant impacts on San Joaquin County; and

WHEREAS, the City believes the Draft EIS/EIR is inadequate and incomplete for various reasons.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council hereby directs that the following comments be filed on behalf of the City of Lodi, with respect to the Draft EIS/EIR prior to the deadline for those comments which is July 1, 1998.

1. The Draft EIS/EIR appears to do little if anything to help solve the groundwater overdraft and supply shortage for San Joaquin County. The EIR/EIS on the preferred alternative should address this issue.
2. The Draft EIS/EIR fails to consider the economic impacts of the CALFED proposals. Among other things, the impacts of not meeting the needs of Eastern San Joaquin County for water, and the depravation of other areas of San Joaquin County of water that has previously been available is not considered. Nor are the statewide economic effects of the alternative proposals adequately considered.
3. Each hydrographic region of California should, to a much greater extent, stand on its own rather than depriving other areas, such as our own, of water that they need.
4. The City is opposed to any isolated facility transporting water from the Sacramento River directly to the State and Federal export pumps. Restoration and protection of the Delta including levee work should have higher priority than increasing water exports.
5. The Draft EIS/EIR continues to assume the use of large quantities of stored Stanislaus River water to dilute the quality of the San Joaquin River and to meet fishery requirements. This is unacceptable to the City of Lodi and results in a violation of the Area of Origin Law.
6. A solution to the overdrafted Eastern San Joaquin County Groundwater Basin is a matter, not only of concern to the City, but to the entire State of California, in view of the agricultural productivity of the area and the significant population residing within the area. The CALFED Program

should consider a specific component to address the needs of Eastern San Joaquin County.

7. Increased storage is an integral part of meeting water needs for the State, and more specifically, San Joaquin County. Specific water storage projects that can reasonably provide water for San Joaquin County should be included in the program. Examples include Auburn Dam (and the extension of the partially completed Folsom South Canal) on the American River and freeing up water from the Stanislaus River/New Melones Reservoir for San Joaquin County.
8. While the Draft EIS/EIR mentions the existing Watershed and Delta Protection Acts and Area of Origin Laws, it fails to meaningfully consider the application of these Laws to the alternatives proposed.
9. The Draft EIS/EIR anticipates significant conversion of Delta lands to non-agricultural use. The City of Lodi is opposed to the proposed conversion, other than minimal amounts for levee work. In any event, the economic impacts to the individuals involved and to the San Joaquin County economy must be evaluated. Those impacts would be very significant.
10. Financial assistance should be offered to those agencies required to meet higher discharge standards due to this program.
11. The Water Quality Program appears to encourage higher levels of treatment for wastewater effluent, thereby maintaining flow and improving water quality, while the State's Region 5 Basin Plan encourages land disposal of effluent, thereby decreasing flows. The two plans should be reconciled clearly.

Dated: June 3, 1998

=====
I hereby certify that Resolution No. 98-90 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held June 3, 1998, by the following vote:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS - Johnson, Land, Mann, Pennino and
Sieglock (Mayor)

NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS - None

ABSTAIN: COUNCIL MEMBERS - None


ALICE M. REIMCHE
City Clerk