RESOLUTION NO. 85-118

RESOLUTION APPROVING CITY OF LODI 1985-86
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE

RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby approve the City's 1985-86 Transportation Development Act Claim for Local Transportation Fund and State Transit Assistance, a copy of which is attached hereto, marked Exhibit "A", and thereby made a part hereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby authorize the City Manager and City Clerk to execute the subject document on behalf of the City of Lodi.

Dated: September 4, 1985

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 85-118 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a Regular Meeting held September 4, 1985 by the following vote:

AYES: Council Members - Olson, Pinkerton, Reid, Snider, and Hinchman (Mayor)

NOES: Council Members - None

ABSENT: Council Members - None

ATTEST:

Alice M. Reimche

ALICE M. REIMCHE
City Clerk

Exhibit 1

LTF

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO: San Joaquin County Council of Governments
1860 East Hazelton Avenue
Stockton, CA 95205

FROM: Applicant: City of Lodi

Address: Call Box 3006, Lodi, CA 95241-1910
(City, Zip)

Contact Person: Sharon Blaufus Phone: 333-6706

The City of Lodi hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 and applicable rules and regulations, that its annual transportation claim be approved in the amount of $ 1,102,490 for fiscal year 1985-86, to be drawn from the local transportation fund.

When approved, please transmit this claim to the County Auditor for payment. Approval of the claim and payment by the County Auditor to this applicant is subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved annual financial plan.

The claimant certifies that this Local Transportation Fund claim and the financial information contained therein, is reasonable and accurate to the best of my knowledge, and that the aforementioned information indicates the eligibility of this claimant for funds for the fiscal year of the application pursuant to CAC Section 6634 and 6734.

APPROVED:
San Joaquin County Council of Governments

By _____
PETER D. VERDOORN
Title Executive Director

Date _____ 19____

Applicant City of Lodi

By Thos. A. Peterson

Title City Manager

Date September 4 1985

Attest: Alice M. Riemche
Alice M. Riemche
City Clerk

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO: San Joaquin County Council of Governments
1860 East Hazelton Avenue
Stockton, CA 95205

FROM: Applicant: City of Lodi

Address (City, Zip): Call Box 3006, Lodi, CA 95241-1910

Contact Person, Phone: Sharon Blaufus 333-6706

This claimant, qualified pursuant to Section 99203 and 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes of 1971 as amended, and applicable rules and regulations, that an allocation be made in the amount of $73,135 for fiscal year 1985-86 to be drawn from the State Transit Assistance trust fund of San Joaquin County for the following purposes and in the following respective amounts:

Purposes	Amounts
Dial-a-Ride	$73,135

Allocation instruction and payment by the County Auditor to this claimant are subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved claim.

The claimant certifies that this State Transit Assistance Fund Claim and the financial information contained herein, is reasonable and accurate to the best of my knowledge, and that the aforementioned information indicates the eligibility of this claimant for funds for the fiscal year of the application pursuant to CAC Section 6634 and 6734.

APPROVED:

San Joaquin County Council of Governments

By _____
PETER D. VERDOORN

Title Executive Director

Date _____ 19____

Applicant City of Lodi

By Thos. A. Peterson

Title City Manager

Date September 4, 19 85

Attest: Alice M. Reimche
Alice M. Reimche
City Clerk

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

I. Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment (85-86)	$ 673,117
B. Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	14,023
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	74,000
D. Unexpended Carryover (includes $2,048 of Transit Capital)	341,350
Total Available for 1985-86 Claim	$ 1,102,490

II. State Transit Assistance Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment (85-86)	$ 62,485
B. Special Transit Apportionment (85-86)	789
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	
D. Unexpended Carryover	9,861
Total Available for 1985-86 Claim	$ 73,135

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

Claim Purpose	I. LTF	II. STA*
I. Public Transportation		
Article 4 (99260) - Operator	94,715	73,135
Article 8 (99400(c)) - Contractor		
II. Pedestrian and Bicycle		
Article 3 (99234)		
Article 8 (99400(a))	38,565	
III. Roads and Streets		
Article 8 (99400(a))	969,210	
IV. Other		
Article 8 (99400(b))		
Total Claimed	1,102,490	73,135

Total Available Apportionment		1,102,490	73,135
Total Claimed	-	1,102,490	73,135
Unclaimed Apportionment (1985-86)	=	0	0

*This will automatically be classified as Article 6.5 (99313.3) for purposes of the Act.

PART I PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Please Check One:

Article 4 Operator ☐ ☐ Article 8 Contractor

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

I. OPERATING REVENUE	1984-85 ☒ Actual/~~Estimate~~ ☐	1985-86 Budget
401 Passenger Fares	30,539	32,000
402 Special Transit Fares		
403 School Bus Service Revenues		
404 Freight Tariffs		
405 Charter Service Revenues		
406 Auxilliary Transportation Revenues		
407 Non-Transportation Revenues		
408 Taxes Levied Directly by Transit System (Specify)		
409 Local Cash Grants and Reimbursements (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF)	54,539	82,215
410 Local Special Fare Assistance		
411 State Cash Grants and Reimbursements (Specify) State Transit Assist. Fund (STA)	107,761	73,135
412 State Special Fare Assistance		
413 Federal Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) UMTA Grants		
430 Contributed Services		
440 (Specify)		
TOTAL	192,839	187,350

II. CAPITAL REVENUE		
464 Federal Capital Grants & Subsidies (Specify)		
State Capital Grants & Subventions (Specify) State Transit Assist. Funds (STA)		
Local Capital Provisions (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF)	26,000	12,500
Non-Governmental Donations		
TOTAL	26,000	12,500

III. OPERATING EXPENSES		1984-85 Actual/Estimates	1985-86 Budget
501	Labor		
	Operators Salaries/Wages		
	Other Salaries/Wages	159	250
502	Fringe Benefits	58	100
503	Services		
504	Materials/Supplies		
	Fuels/Lubricants		
	Tires/Tubes		
	Other		
505	Utilities		
506	Casualty/Liability Costs	6,000	6,000
507	Taxes	745	
508	Purchased Transportation Service	175,293	180,000
509	Miscellaneous Expenses	723	1,000
510	Expense Transfers		
511	Interest Expense		
512	Leases and Rentals		
513	Depreciation/Amortization		
	Operator Funds		
	Grant Funds		
	TOTAL	182,978	187,350

IV. CAPITAL EXPENSES			
	Debt Service		
	Land/Property Acquistion	765	
	Vehicles	23,187	12,500
	Construction		
	Other		
	TOTAL	23,952	12,500

OPERATIONAL INFORMATION*

	Actual FY 1983-84	Actual ~~Estimated~~ FY 1984-85	Proposed FY 1985-86
1. Patronage			
a. Total Passengers	62,812	60,238	62,000
b. Revenue Passengers	1,877	1,045	2,000
c. Youth Passengers			
d. Elderly Passengers	59,878	57,824	60,000
e. Handicapped Passengers			
2. *Vehicle Miles			
a. Total Vehicle Miles	106,140	107,869	113,000
b. Revenue Vehicle Miles	106,140	107,869	113,000
3. Revenue Vehicle Hours	9,448	9,342	10,800
4. Revenue Vehicle Fuel Consumption			
a. Diesel	8,104	8,194	7,785
b. Gasoline			3,115
c. Liquid natural Compressed Gas			
5. Fare Structure			
a. Base	2.00	2.00	1.00
b. Zone			
c. Youth			
d. Senior	.50	.50	.50
e. Handicapped	.50	.50	.50
f. Monthly Pass			
g. Other			
h. Average Fare	.54	.54	.53

*Includes miles for County service. All other figures reflect City service only.

*Attach additional pages as necessary to alter or complete description

THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

		1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
Operating Expenses		$ 192,250	$ 206,145	$ 215,845
Operating Revenues				
Sources:	LTF	$ 92,076	$ 104,400	$ 112,165
	STA	63,274	63,000	63,000
	Federal			
	Fares	32,000	33,600	35,280
	General Fund			
	Other	4,900	5,145	5,400
Total		$ 192,250	$ 206,145	$ 215,845

Capital Expenses		$ 12,500	$ 13,000	$ 13,500
Capital Revenue				
Sources:	LTF	$ 12,500	$ 13,000	$ 13,500
	STA			
	Federal			
	Other			
Total		$ 12,500	$ 13,000	$ 13,500

Article 4 Operator Onl·

FLEET INVENTORY

Make & Model	Production Year	# of Veh.	Fuel Type	Seat Capacity	Special Features AC	EP	WC	Other
Chevrolet-Caprice Station Wagon	1979	5	Diesel	6	X			
Chevrolet-Caprice Station Wagon	1984	2	Gasoline	6	X			
TOTAL	XXXXXXXXXXX		XXXXXX					
Vehicles to be Purchased in FY 1985/86								
Station Wagon*	1985	1	Gasoline	6	1			

*Replacement for 1979 Vehicle

AC = Air Conditioned

EP = Environmental Package

WC = Wheel Chair Lift

Article 4 Operator TDA Requirements

1. Fare Ratio Requirement

   A. For an operator serving a non-urbanized area and/or providing exclusive service to the elderly and handicapped, the ratio of fare revenues to operating cost (minus depreciation) must equal at least 10%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.

   B. For an operator serving an urbanized area, the ratio of fare revenues to operating cost (minus depreciation) must equal at least 20%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.

      i. What is this system's required farebox recovery ratio? 10%

      ii. Does the attached budget demonstrate that the system will meet its required farebox recovery ratio? Yes

      iii. Has the system utilized its grace year? No

      iv. Has this system been in non-compliance with its required farebox recovery ratio? _____.
      If yes, identify the year or years: _____
      _____
      _____

2. Local Support Ratio

   A. For an operator serving a non-urbanized area, and/or providing exclusive service to the elderly and handicapped, the ratio of fare revenue plus local support (local taxes, general fund, etc. 6611.3) to operating cost, (minus depreciation) must equal at least 10%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.

   B. For an operator serving an urbanized area, the ratio of fare revenues plus local support to operating cost must equal at least 20%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.

      i. What is this system's required local support ratio? 10 %

      ii. Does the attached budget demonstrate that the system will meet this required local support ratio? Yes

iii. Has this system utilized its grace year? _____

iv. Has this system been in non-compliance with its required local support ratio? No

If yes, Identify the year or years: _____

_____

_____

3. Extension of Service

An extension or new service is exempt from the required farebox and local support ratios if:

A. The extension of service has been in operation for less than two years at the end of the fiscal year.

B. The claimant's operating cost for the fiscal year, after excluding the operating cost of the extension of service, exceeds its operating cost for the prior fiscal year.

C. The claimant submits a report on the extension of service to COG. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(c)).

i. Is this a new service? _____

ii. Is there an extension of service being claimed? _____

If so, please identify the extension of service:

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

4. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be attached.

5. Narrative Description

Describe any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

One additional vehicle was purchased in 1984-85, Saturday service was initiated and fares lowered for non-elderly and non-handicapped persons. These were all accomplished late in the fiscal year so it is impossible to ascertain the affect on service.

A replacement vehicle is included in this year's budget to continue the replacement of diesel-powered vehicles to gasoline.

Article 8 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin County Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do and established a two-step process:

1. Match Requirement

   To receive the same amount of TDA funds (LTF and STA combined) that a service received in the previous year, no more than 90% of the operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the community as long as it is not TDA.

2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

   To receive an amount of TDA funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish a specific service objective for the fiscal year of the claim. This specific objective would be the operating cost per passenger for the fiscal year of the claim. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The Transportation Planning Policy Committee will adopt the operating cost per passenger figure that a claimant must meet in the fiscal year of the claim.

   If the system failed to meet is operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the claim, then it would only be eligible to file a cliam for the level of TDA funding received in that fiscal year.

   i. What was the level of TDA funding received in the previous fiscal year for this system (LTF and STA) $_____

   ii. Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds?_____

   iii. Is this claim requesting more TDA funds then were received in the previous fiscal year?_____
   If yes, how much more? $_____

   iv. What was last year's Operating Cost per Passenger Objective?_____ What was the actual Operating Cost per Passenger?_____

Operating Cost (minus depreciation) $_____

Total Passengers _____

Operating Cost per Passenger $_____

v. What is the Operating Cost per Passenger Objective for this claim?

Budgeted Operating Cost (minus depreciation) $_____

Estimated Total Passengers _____

Operating Cost per Passenger _____

3. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be attached.

4. Narrative Description

Describe any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost / Total Cost
* Ham Lane Street Improvement * Work in Progress	Lodi Ave to Elm St.	$ 38,565

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost / Total Cost

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost / Total Cost
Miscellaneous Widening		$ 50,000
* Miscellaneous Curb & Gutters		70,000
R.R. Grade Crossing Protection @ SPPR		
Turner Road @ Mills		15,000
Cherokee Lane		10,000
Washington Street		14,000
Traffic Signals		
Lodi Ave. & Church St.		100,000
Hutchins & Tokay		40,000
Miscellaneous Locations		80,000
RR Xing Approach Improvements		
Tokay Street		30,000
Locust Street		47,000
Loma Drive		6,000
Stockton Street Improvements	Vine to Tokay	262,000
Century Blvd/WID Box Culvert & Crossing		100,000
* Hutchins Street Improvements	Rimby to Vine	290,000
	Tokay to Lodi R/W	15,000
* Cherokee Lane Improvements	Lockeford to S/Murray	75,000
* Pavement Management System		5,000
Street Maintenance		128,000
Administration/Planning		2,000

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost / Total Cost

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost / Total Cost
Miscellaneous Asphalt Overlays Projects - 1986		$ 175,000
Turner Rd. Curb, Gutter & Street Replacement @ Lodi Lake		11,000
Ham Lane Median	Century/Kettleman	34,000
Lockeford Street	Pleasant to Sacramento Sacramento to Cherokee	86,000 58,000
Tokay Street	SPPR to Cherokee	271,000
	TOTAL	$ 968,210 $1,974,000

* Work in Progress

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost / Total Cost

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

PART IV - OTHER PURPOSES

There is the possibility that a claimant may wish to expend TDA funds for purposes allowed within the Act, but not covered by the three previous parts. For instance, TDA funds may be claimed to subsidize Amtrak service in a community. To complete this section, please identify the project, the purpose of the project, the estimated cost, and the fund from which money is being claimed. It is advisable to communicate with COG staff before completing this section.